Classical versus Tropical Algebra

Dima Grigoriev (Lille)

CNRS

17/09/2024, Sankt-Petersbourg

Tropical semi-ring T is endowed with operations \oplus , \otimes .

If T is an ordered semi-group then T is a tropical semi-ring with inherited operations $\oplus := \min, \otimes := +$.

If T is an ordered (resp. abelian) group then T is a *tropical semi-skew-field* (resp. *tropical semi-field*) w.r.t. $\oslash := -$.

Examples • $\mathbb{Z}^+ := \{0 \le a \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \ \mathbb{Z}_{\infty}^+ := \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{\infty\}$ are commutative tropical semi-rings. ∞ plays a role of 0, in its turn 0 plays a role of 1;

- ullet $\mathbb{Z},\,\mathbb{Z}_\infty$ are semi-fields:
- $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{Z}_{∞} form a non-commutative tropical semi-ring:
- $(a_{ij})\otimes(b_{kl}):=(\oplus_{1\leq j\leq n}a_{ij}\otimes b_{jl}).$

Tropical polynomials

Tropical semi-ring T is endowed with operations \oplus , \otimes . If *T* is an ordered semi-group then *T* is a tropical semi-ring with inherited operations \oplus := min, \otimes := +.

If T is an ordered (resp. abelian) group then T is a *tropical semi-skew-field* (resp. *tropical semi-field*) w.r.t. $\emptyset := -$.

Examples • $\mathbb{Z}^+ := \{0 \le a \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \mathbb{Z}_{\infty}^+ := \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{\infty\}$ are commutative tropical semi-rings. ∞ plays a role of 0, in its turn 0 plays a role of 1 • \mathbb{Z}_{∞} are semi-fields:

• $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{Z}_{∞} form a non-commutative tropical semi-ring: $(a_{ij}) \otimes (b_{kl}) := (\bigoplus_{1 \leq j \leq n} a_{ij} \otimes b_{jl}).$

Tropical polynomials

Tropical semi-ring T is endowed with operations \oplus , \otimes .

If T is an ordered semi-group then T is a tropical semi-ring with inherited operations $\oplus := \min, \ \otimes := +$.

If T is an ordered (resp. abelian) group then T is a *tropical semi-skew-field* (resp. *tropical semi-field*) w.r.t. $\emptyset := -$.

Examples • $\mathbb{Z}^+ := \{0 \le a \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \ \mathbb{Z}_{\infty}^+ := \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{\infty\}$ are commutative tropical semi-rings. ∞ plays a role of 0, in its turn 0 plays a role of 1 • \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Z}_{∞} are semi-fields;

• $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{Z}_{∞} form a non-commutative tropical semi-ring $(a_{i}) \otimes (b_{i}) := (\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_{i} \otimes b_{i})$

 $(a_{ij})\otimes(D_{kl}):=(\oplus_{1\leq j\leq n}a_{ij}\otimes D_{jl}).$

Tropical polynomials

Tropical semi-ring T is endowed with operations \oplus , \otimes .

If T is an ordered semi-group then T is a tropical semi-ring with inherited operations $\oplus := \min, \otimes := +$.

If T is an ordered (resp. abelian) group then T is a tropical semi-skew-field (resp. tropical semi-field) w.r.t. $\emptyset := -$.

Examples • $\mathbb{Z}^+ := \{0 \le a \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \ \mathbb{Z}_{\infty}^+ := \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{\infty\}$ are commutative tropical semi-rings. ∞ plays a role of 0, in its turn 0 plays a role of 1;

- \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Z}_{∞} are semi-fields;
- $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{Z}_{∞} form a non-commutative tropical semi-ring: $(a_n) \otimes (b_n) := (\bigoplus_{1 \le i \le n} a_n \otimes b_n)$

Tropical polynomials

Tropical semi-ring T is endowed with operations \oplus , \otimes .

If T is an ordered semi-group then T is a tropical semi-ring with inherited operations $\oplus := \min, \ \otimes := +.$

If T is an ordered (resp. abelian) group then T is a tropical semi-skew-field (resp. tropical semi-field) w.r.t. $\emptyset := -$.

Examples • $\mathbb{Z}^+ := \{0 \le a \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \ \mathbb{Z}_{\infty}^+ := \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{\infty\}$ are commutative tropical semi-rings. ∞ plays a role of 0, in its turn 0 plays a role of 1;

- $\bullet \ \mathbb{Z}, \ \mathbb{Z}_{\infty}$ are semi-fields;
- $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{Z}_{∞} form a non-commutative tropical semi-ring: $(a_{ij}) \otimes (b_{kl}) := (\bigoplus_{1 \le j \le n} a_{ij} \otimes b_{jl}).$

Tropical polynomials

Tropical semi-ring T is endowed with operations \oplus , \otimes .

If T is an ordered semi-group then T is a tropical semi-ring with inherited operations $\oplus := \min, \otimes := +$.

If T is an ordered (resp. abelian) group then T is a tropical semi-skew-field (resp. tropical semi-field) w.r.t. $\emptyset := -$.

Examples • $\mathbb{Z}^+ := \{0 \le a \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \ \mathbb{Z}_{\infty}^+ := \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{\infty\}$ are commutative tropical semi-rings. ∞ plays a role of 0, in its turn 0 plays a role of 1;

- $\bullet \ \mathbb{Z}, \ \mathbb{Z}_{\infty}$ are semi-fields;
- $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{Z}_{∞} form a non-commutative tropical semi-ring: $(a_{ij}) \otimes (b_{kl}) := (\bigoplus_{1 < j < n} a_{ji} \otimes b_{jl}).$

Tropical polynomials

Tropical semi-ring T is endowed with operations \oplus , \otimes .

If T is an ordered semi-group then T is a tropical semi-ring with inherited operations $\oplus := \min, \ \otimes := +.$

If T is an ordered (resp. abelian) group then T is a tropical semi-skew-field (resp. tropical semi-field) w.r.t. $\emptyset := -$.

Examples • $\mathbb{Z}^+ := \{0 \le a \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \ \mathbb{Z}_{\infty}^+ := \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{\infty\}$ are commutative tropical semi-rings. ∞ plays a role of 0, in its turn 0 plays a role of 1;

- $\bullet \ \mathbb{Z}, \ \mathbb{Z}_{\infty}$ are semi-fields;
- $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{Z}_{∞} form a non-commutative tropical semi-ring: $(a_{ij}) \otimes (b_{kl}) := (\bigoplus_{1 \leq j \leq n} a_{ij} \otimes b_{jl}).$

Tropical polynomials

Tropical monomial $x^{\otimes i} := x \otimes \cdots \otimes x$, $Q = a \otimes x_1^{\otimes i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n^{\otimes i_n}$, its tropical degree trdeg $= i_1 + \cdots + i_n$. Then $Q = a + i_1 \cdot x_1 + \cdots + i_n \cdot x_n$.

Tropical polynomial $f = \bigoplus_j (a_j \otimes x_1^{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n^{j_n}) = \min_j \{Q_j\};$ $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a **tropical zero** of f if minimum $\min_j \{Q_j\}$ is attained for at least two different values of f.

Tropical semi-ring T is endowed with operations \oplus , \otimes .

If T is an ordered semi-group then T is a tropical semi-ring with inherited operations $\oplus := \min, \ \otimes := +$.

If T is an ordered (resp. abelian) group then T is a tropical semi-skew-field (resp. tropical semi-field) w.r.t. $\emptyset := -$.

Examples • $\mathbb{Z}^+ := \{0 \le a \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \ \mathbb{Z}_{\infty}^+ := \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{\infty\}$ are commutative tropical semi-rings. ∞ plays a role of 0, in its turn 0 plays a role of 1;

- ullet $\mathbb{Z},\ \mathbb{Z}_{\infty}$ are semi-fields;
- $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{Z}_{∞} form a non-commutative tropical semi-ring: $(a_{ij}) \otimes (b_{kl}) := (\bigoplus_{1 \leq j \leq n} a_{ij} \otimes b_{jl}).$

Tropical polynomials

Tropical monomial $x^{\otimes i} := x \otimes \cdots \otimes x$, $Q = a \otimes x_1^{\otimes i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n^{\otimes i_n}$, its tropical degree $\text{trdeg} = i_1 + \cdots + i_n$. Then $Q = a + i_1 \cdot x_1 + \cdots + i_n \cdot x_n$. Tropical polynomial $f = \bigoplus_j (a_j \otimes x_1^{i_{j_1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n^{i_{j_n}}) = \min_j \{Q_j\};$

 $x=(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ is a **tropical zero** of t it minimum $\min_j\{Q_j\}$ is attained for at least two different values of j.

Tropical semi-ring T is endowed with operations \oplus , \otimes .

If T is an ordered semi-group then T is a tropical semi-ring with inherited operations $\oplus := \min, \otimes := +$.

If T is an ordered (resp. abelian) group then T is a tropical semi-skew-field (resp. tropical semi-field) w.r.t. $\emptyset := -$.

Examples • $\mathbb{Z}^+ := \{0 \le a \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \ \mathbb{Z}_{\infty}^+ := \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{\infty\}$ are commutative tropical semi-rings. ∞ plays a role of 0, in its turn 0 plays a role of 1;

- $\bullet \ \mathbb{Z}, \ \mathbb{Z}_{\infty}$ are semi-fields;
- $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{Z}_{∞} form a non-commutative tropical semi-ring: $(a_{ij}) \otimes (b_{kl}) := (\bigoplus_{1 \leq j \leq n} a_{ij} \otimes b_{jl}).$

Tropical polynomials

Logarithmic scaling of the reals (mathematical physics)

Define two operations on positive reals, replacing addition and multiplication:

$$a, b \rightarrow t \cdot \log(\exp(a/t) + \exp(b/t)), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} = \max\{a, b\}$$

$$a, b \to t \cdot \log(\exp(a/t) \cdot \exp(b/t)) = a + b$$

Thus, the "dequantization" of the logarithmic scaling is a tropical semi-ring

Solving systems of polynomial equations in Puiseux series (algebraic geometry)

The field of Puiseux series

$$F((t^{1/\infty})) \ni a_0 \cdot t^{i/q} + a_1 \cdot t^{(i+1)/q} + \cdots, 0 < q \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 over an algebraically closed field F is algebraically closed. In the (Newton) algorithm for solving a system of polynomial equations $f_i(X_1, \ldots, X_n) = 0, 1 \le i \le k$ with $f_i \in F((t^{1/\infty}))[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ in Puiseux series the leading exponents i_j/q_j in $X_j = a_{0j} \cdot t^{ij/q_j} + \cdots$ satisfy a tropical polynomial system (due to cancelation of the leading terms)

Logarithmic scaling of the reals (mathematical physics)

Define two operations on positive reals, replacing addition and multiplication:

$$a, b \rightarrow t \cdot \log(\exp(a/t) + \exp(b/t)), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} = \max\{a, b\}$$

$$a, b \to t \cdot \log(\exp(a/t) \cdot \exp(b/t)) = a + b$$

Thus, the "dequantization" of the logarithmic scaling is a tropical semi-ring

Solving systems of polynomial equations in Puiseux series (algebraic geometry)

The field of Puiseux series

$$F((t^{1/\infty})) \ni a_0 \cdot t^{i/q} + a_1 \cdot t^{(i+1)/q} + \cdots, 0 < q \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 over an algebraically closed field F is algebraically closed. In the (Newton) algorithm for solving a system of polynomial equations $f_i(X_1, \ldots, X_n) = 0, 1 \le i \le k$ with $f_i \in F((t^{1/\infty}))[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ in Puiseux series the leading exponents i_j/q_j in $X_j = a_{0j} \cdot t^{i_j/q_j} + \cdots$ satisfy a tropical polynomial system (due to cancelation of the leading terms).

Logarithmic scaling of the reals (mathematical physics)

Define two operations on positive reals, replacing addition and multiplication:

$$a, b \to t \cdot \log(\exp(a/t) + \exp(b/t)), \quad \lim_{t \to 0} = \max\{a, b\}$$

$$a, b \to t \cdot \log(\exp(a/t) \cdot \exp(b/t)) = a + b$$

Thus, the "dequantization" of the logarithmic scaling is a tropical semi-ring

Solving systems of polynomial equations in Puiseux series (algebraic geometry)

The field of Puiseux series

$$F((t^{1/\infty})) \ni a_0 \cdot t^{i/q} + a_1 \cdot t^{(i+1)/q} + \cdots, 0 < q \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 over an algebraically closed field F is algebraically closed. In the (Newton)

algorithm for solving a system of polynomial equations

 $f_i(X_1,...,X_n) = 0$, $1 \le i \le k$ with $f_i \in F((t^{1/\infty}))[X_1,...,X_n]$ in Puiseux series the leading exponents i_j/q_j in $X_j = a_{0j} \cdot t^{i_j/q_j} + \cdots$ satisfy a tropical polynomial system (due to cancelation of the leading terms)

Logarithmic scaling of the reals (mathematical physics)

Define two operations on positive reals, replacing addition and multiplication:

$$a, b \to t \cdot \log(\exp(a/t) + \exp(b/t)), \quad \lim_{t \to 0} = \max\{a, b\}$$

 $a, b \to t \cdot \log(\exp(a/t) \cdot \exp(b/t)) = a + b$
Thus, the "dequantization" of the logarithmic scaling is a tropical

semi-ring

Solving systems of polynomial equations in Puiseux series (algebraic geometry)

The field of Puiseux series

$$F((t^{1/\infty})) \ni a_0 \cdot t^{i/q} + a_1 \cdot t^{(i+1)/q} + \cdots, 0 < q \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 over an algebraically closed field F is algebraically closed. In the (Newton) algorithm for solving a system of polynomial equations $f_i(X_1,\ldots,X_n)=0,\ 1\leq i\leq k$ with $f_i\in F((t^{1/\infty}))[X_1,\ldots,X_n]$ in Puiseux series the leading exponents i_j/q_j in $X_j=a_{0j}\cdot t^{i_j/q_j}+\cdots$ satisfy a tropical polynomial system (due to cancelation of the leading terms).

For a graph with weights w_{ij} on edges (i, j) for any k to compute for each pair of vertices i, j the minimal weight of paths of length k between i and j. This is equivalent to computing the tropical k-th power of matrix (w_{ij}) .

Scheduling

Let several jobs i should be executed by means of several machines j with times of execution t_{ij} . The restrictions like that job i_0 should be executed after job i are imposed. Denoting by unknown x_{ij} a starting moment of execution of i by j, the latter restriction is expressed as $x_{i_0,j_0} \ge \min_j \{x_{ij} + t_{ij}\}$. Another sort of restrictions is that a machine can't execute two jobs simultaneously, i. e. $x_{i_1,j} \ge x_{ij} + t_{ij}$. It leads to a system of min-plus linear inequalities, the problem being equivalent to tropical linear systems.

For a graph with weights w_{ij} on edges (i,j) for any k to compute for each pair of vertices i,j the minimal weight of paths of length k between i and j. This is equivalent to computing the tropical k-th power of matrix (w_{ij}) .

Scheduling

Let several jobs i should be executed by means of several machines j with times of execution t_{ij} . The restrictions like that job i_0 should be executed after job i are imposed. Denoting by unknown x_{ij} a starting moment of execution of i by j, the latter restriction is expressed as $x_{i_0,j_0} \ge \min_j \{x_{ij} + t_{ij}\}$. Another sort of restrictions is that a machine can't execute two jobs simultaneously, i. e. $x_{i_1,j} \ge x_{ij} + t_{ij}$. It leads to a system of min-plus linear inequalities, the problem being equivalent to tropical linear systems.

For a graph with weights w_{ij} on edges (i, j) for any k to compute for each pair of vertices i, j the minimal weight of paths of length k between i and j. This is equivalent to computing the tropical k-th power of matrix (w_{ij}) .

Scheduling

Let several jobs i should be executed by means of several machines j with times of execution t_{ij} . The restrictions like that job i_0 should be executed after job i are imposed. Denoting by unknown x_{ij} a starting moment of execution of i by j, the latter restriction is expressed as $x_{i_0,j_0} \ge \min_j \{x_{ij} + t_{ij}\}$. Another sort of restrictions is that a machine can execute two jobs simultaneously, i. e. $x_{i_0,j_0} \ge x_{ij} + t_{ij}$. It leads to a system of min-plus linear inequalities, the problem being equivalent to tropical linear systems.

For a graph with weights w_{ij} on edges (i,j) for any k to compute for each pair of vertices i,j the minimal weight of paths of length k between i and j. This is equivalent to computing the tropical k-th power of matrix (w_{ij}) .

Scheduling

Let several jobs i should be executed by means of several machines j with times of execution t_{ij} . The restrictions like that job i_0 should be executed after job i are imposed. Denoting by unknown x_{ij} a starting moment of execution of i by j, the latter restriction is expressed as $x_{i_0,j_0} \ge \min_j \{x_{ij} + t_{ij}\}$. Another sort of restrictions is that a machine can execute two jobs simultaneously, i. e. $x_{i_1,j} \ge x_{ij} + t_{ij}$. It leads to a system of min-plus linear inequalities, the problem being equivalent to tropical linear systems. This approach is employed in scheduling of Dutch and Korean

For a graph with weights w_{ij} on edges (i, j) for any k to compute for each pair of vertices i, j the minimal weight of paths of length k between i and j. This is equivalent to computing the tropical k-th power of matrix (w_{ij}) .

Scheduling

Let several jobs i should be executed by means of several machines j with times of execution t_{ij} . The restrictions like that job i_0 should be executed after job i are imposed. Denoting by unknown x_{ij} a starting moment of execution of i by j, the latter restriction is expressed as $x_{i_0,i_0} \ge \min_j \{x_{ij} + t_{ij}\}$. Another sort of restrictions is that a machine can't

execute two jobs simultaneously, i. e. $x_{i_1,j} \ge x_{ij} + t_{ij}$. It leads to a system of min-plus linear inequalities, the problem being equivalent to tropical linear systems.

For a graph with weights w_{ij} on edges (i, j) for any k to compute for each pair of vertices i, j the minimal weight of paths of length k between i and j. This is equivalent to computing the tropical k-th power of matrix (w_{ij}) .

Scheduling

Let several jobs i should be executed by means of several machines j with times of execution t_{ij} . The restrictions like that job i_0 should be executed after job i are imposed. Denoting by unknown x_{ij} a starting moment of execution of i by j, the latter restriction is expressed as $x_{i_0,j_0} \ge \min_j \{x_{ij} + t_{ij}\}$. Another sort of restrictions is that a machine can't execute two jobs simultaneously, i. e. $x_{i_1,j} \ge x_{ij} + t_{ij}$. It leads to a system of min-plus linear inequalities, the problem being equivalent to

For a graph with weights w_{ij} on edges (i, j) for any k to compute for each pair of vertices i, j the minimal weight of paths of length k between i and j. This is equivalent to computing the tropical k-th power of matrix (w_{ij}) .

Scheduling

Let several jobs i should be executed by means of several machines j with times of execution t_{ij} . The restrictions like that job i_0 should be executed after job i are imposed. Denoting by unknown x_{ij} a starting moment of execution of i by j, the latter restriction is expressed as $x_{i_0,j_0} \geq \min_j \{x_{ij} + t_{ij}\}$. Another sort of restrictions is that a machine can't execute two jobs simultaneously, i. e. $x_{i_1,j} \geq x_{ij} + t_{ij}$. It leads to a system of min-plus linear inequalities, the problem being equivalent to tropical linear systems.

For a graph with weights w_{ij} on edges (i, j) for any k to compute for each pair of vertices i, j the minimal weight of paths of length k between i and j. This is equivalent to computing the tropical k-th power of matrix (w_{ij}) .

Scheduling

Let several jobs i should be executed by means of several machines j with times of execution t_{ij} . The restrictions like that job i_0 should be executed after job i are imposed. Denoting by unknown x_{ij} a starting moment of execution of i by j, the latter restriction is expressed as $x_{i_0,j_0} \geq \min_j \{x_{ij} + t_{ij}\}$. Another sort of restrictions is that a machine can't execute two jobs simultaneously, i. e. $x_{i_1,j} \geq x_{ij} + t_{ij}$. It leads to a system of min-plus linear inequalities, the problem being equivalent to tropical linear systems.

Some other applications of tropical algebra

Neural networks. Gates of mainly used neural networks are tropical polynomials.

The implementation of auctions of Bank of England is based on tropical curves.

Tropical cryptography involves tropical semirings as platforms (rather than more customary groups).

Some other applications of tropical algebra

Neural networks. Gates of mainly used neural networks are tropical polynomials.

The implementation of auctions of Bank of England is based on tropical curves.

Tropical cryptography involves tropical semirings as platforms (rather than more customary groups).

Some other applications of tropical algebra

Neural networks. Gates of mainly used neural networks are tropical polynomials.

The implementation of auctions of Bank of England is based on tropical curves.

Tropical cryptography involves tropical semirings as platforms (rather than more customary groups).

If T is an ordered semi-group then tropical linear function over T can be written as $\min_{1 \le i \le n} \{a_i + x_i\}$.

Tropical linear system

$$\min_{1 \le j \le n} \{ a_{i,j} + x_j \}, \ 1 \le i \le m$$

(or $(m \times n)$ -matrix $A = (a_{i,j})$) has a tropical solution $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ if for every row $1 \le i \le m$ there are two columns $1 \le k < l \le n$ such that

$$a_{i,k} + x_k = a_{i,l} + x_l = \min_{1 \le j \le n} \{a_{i,j} + x_j\}$$

Coefficients $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\infty} := \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$. Not all $x_j = \infty$. For $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ we assume $0 \le a_{i,j} \le M$.

If T is an ordered semi-group then tropical linear function over T can be written as $\min_{1 \le i \le n} \{a_i + x_i\}$.

Tropical linear system

$$\min_{1\leq j\leq n}\{a_{i,j}+x_j\},\ 1\leq i\leq m$$

(or $(m \times n)$ -matrix $A = (a_{i,j})$) has a tropical solution $x = (x_1 \dots, x_n)$ if for every row $1 \le i \le m$ there are two columns $1 \le k < l \le n$ such that

$$a_{i,k} + x_k = a_{i,l} + x_l = \min_{1 \le j \le n} \{a_{i,j} + x_j\}$$

Coefficients $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\infty} := \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$. Not all $x_j = \infty$. For $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ we assume $0 \le a_{i,j} \le M$.

If T is an ordered semi-group then tropical linear function over T can be written as $\min_{1 \le i \le n} \{a_i + x_i\}$.

Tropical linear system

$$\min_{1\leq j\leq n}\{a_{i,j}+x_j\},\ 1\leq i\leq m$$

(or $(m \times n)$ -matrix $A = (a_{i,j})$) has a *tropical solution* $x = (x_1 \dots, x_n)$ if for every row $1 \le i \le m$ there are two columns $1 \le k < l \le n$ such that

$$a_{i,k} + x_k = a_{i,l} + x_l = \min_{1 \le j \le n} \{a_{i,j} + x_j\}$$

Coefficients $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\infty} := \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$. Not all $x_j = \infty$. For $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ we assume $0 \le a_{i,j} \le M$.

If T is an ordered semi-group then tropical linear function over T can be written as $\min_{1 \le i \le n} \{a_i + x_i\}$.

Tropical linear system

$$\min_{1\leq j\leq n}\{a_{i,j}+x_j\},\ 1\leq i\leq m$$

(or $(m \times n)$ -matrix $A = (a_{i,j})$) has a tropical solution $x = (x_1 \dots, x_n)$ if for every row $1 \le i \le m$ there are two columns $1 \le k < l \le n$ such that

$$a_{i,k} + x_k = a_{i,l} + x_l = \min_{1 \le j \le n} \{a_{i,j} + x_j\}$$

Coefficients $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\infty} := \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$. Not all $x_j = \infty$. For $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ we assume $0 \le a_{i,j} \le M$.

If T is an ordered semi-group then tropical linear function over T can be written as $\min_{1 \le i \le n} \{a_i + x_i\}$.

Tropical linear system

$$\min_{1\leq j\leq n}\{a_{i,j}+x_j\},\, 1\leq i\leq m$$

(or $(m \times n)$ -matrix $A = (a_{i,j})$) has a *tropical solution* $x = (x_1 \dots, x_n)$ if for every row $1 \le i \le m$ there are two columns $1 \le k < l \le n$ such that

$$a_{i,k} + x_k = a_{i,l} + x_l = \min_{1 \le j \le n} \{a_{i,j} + x_j\}$$

Coefficients $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\infty} := \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$. Not all $x_j = \infty$. For $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ we assume $0 \le a_{i,j} \le M$.

Theorem

One can solve an $m \times n$ tropical linear system A within complexity polynomial in n, m, M. (Akian-Gaubert-Guterman; G.)

Moreover, the algorithm either finds a solution over \mathbb{Z}_{∞} or produces an $n \times n$ tropically nonsingular submatrix of A.

Corollary

The problem of solvability of tropical linear systems is in the complexity class $NP \cap coNP$.

- My algorithm has also a complexity bound polynomial in 2^{nm}, log M (as well as an obvious algorithm which invokes linear programming);
- Davydov: an example of A with $M \approx 2^n \approx 2^m$ for which my algorithm runs with exponential complexity $\Omega(M)$;
- Podol'ski: an example of A with m = 2, n = 3 for which the algorithm of Akian-Gaubert-Guterman runs with exponential complexity $\Omega(M)$.

Theorem

One can solve an $m \times n$ tropical linear system A within complexity polynomial in n, m, M. (Akian-Gaubert-Guterman; G.) Moreover, the algorithm either finds a solution over \mathbb{Z}_{∞} or produces an $n \times n$ tropically nonsingular submatrix of A.

Corollary

The problem of solvability of tropical linear systems is in the complexity class $NP \cap coNP$.

- My algorithm has also a complexity bound polynomial in 2^{nm}, log M (as well as an obvious algorithm which invokes linear programming);
- Davydov: an example of A with $M \approx 2^n \approx 2^m$ for which my algorithm runs with exponential complexity $\Omega(M)$;
- Podol'ski: an example of A with m = 2, n = 3 for which the algorithm of Akian-Gaubert-Guterman runs with exponential complexity $\Omega(M)$.

Theorem

One can solve an $m \times n$ tropical linear system A within complexity polynomial in n, m, M. (Akian-Gaubert-Guterman; G.) Moreover, the algorithm either finds a solution over \mathbb{Z}_{∞} or produces an $n \times n$ tropically nonsingular submatrix of A.

Corollary

The problem of solvability of tropical linear systems is in the complexity class $NP \cap coNP$.

- My algorithm has also a complexity bound polynomial in 2^{nm}, log M (as well as an obvious algorithm which invokes linear programming);
- Davydov: an example of A with $M \approx 2^n \approx 2^m$ for which my algorithm runs with exponential complexity $\Omega(M)$;
- Podol'ski: an example of A with m = 2, n = 3 for which the algorithm of Akian-Gaubert-Guterman runs with exponential complexity $\Omega(M)$.

Theorem

One can solve an $m \times n$ tropical linear system A within complexity polynomial in n, m, M. (Akian-Gaubert-Guterman; G.) Moreover, the algorithm either finds a solution over \mathbb{Z}_{∞} or produces an $n \times n$ tropically nonsingular submatrix of A.

Corollary

The problem of solvability of tropical linear systems is in the complexity class $NP \cap coNP$.

- My algorithm has also a complexity bound polynomial in 2^{nm}, log M (as well as an obvious algorithm which invokes linear programming);
- Davydov: an example of A with $M \simeq 2^n \simeq 2^m$ for which my algorithm runs with exponential complexity $\Omega(M)$;
- Podol'ski: an example of A with m = 2, n = 3 for which the algorithm of Akian-Gaubert-Guterman runs with exponential complexity $\Omega(M)$.

Theorem

One can solve an $m \times n$ tropical linear system A within complexity polynomial in n, m, M. (Akian-Gaubert-Guterman; G.) Moreover, the algorithm either finds a solution over \mathbb{Z}_{∞} or produces an $n \times n$ tropically nonsingular submatrix of A.

Corollary

The problem of solvability of tropical linear systems is in the complexity class $NP \cap coNP$.

- My algorithm has also a complexity bound polynomial in 2^{nm} , $\log M$ (as well as an obvious algorithm which invokes linear programming);
- Davydov: an example of A with $M \simeq 2^n \simeq 2^m$ for which my algorithm runs with exponential complexity $\Omega(M)$;
- Podol'ski: an example of A with m = 2, n = 3 for which the algorithm of Akian-Gaubert-Guterman runs with exponential complexity $\Omega(M)$.

Theorem

One can solve an $m \times n$ tropical linear system A within complexity polynomial in n, m, M. (Akian-Gaubert-Guterman; G.) Moreover, the algorithm either finds a solution over \mathbb{Z}_{∞} or produces an $n \times n$ tropically nonsingular submatrix of A.

Corollary

The problem of solvability of tropical linear systems is in the complexity class $NP \cap coNP$.

- My algorithm has also a complexity bound polynomial in 2^{nm} , $\log M$ (as well as an obvious algorithm which invokes linear programming);
- Davydov: an example of A with $M \approx 2^n \approx 2^m$ for which my algorithm runs with exponential complexity $\Omega(M)$;
- Podol'ski: an example of A with m = 2, n = 3 for which the algorithm of Akian-Gaubert-Guterman runs with exponential complexity $\Omega(M)$.

Tropical rank trk(A) of matrix A is the maximal size of its tropically nonsingular square submatrices.

A lifting of A is a matrix $F=(f_{i,j})$ over the field of Newton-Puiseux series $K=R((t^{1/\infty}))$ for a field R such that the order $ord_t(f_{i,j})=a_{i,j}$ where $f_{i,j}=b_1\cdot t^{q_1}+b_2\cdot t^{q_2}+\cdots$ with rational exponents $a_{i,j}=q_1< q_2<\cdots$ having common denominator, or $f_{i,j}=0$ when $a_{i,j}=\infty$.

Kapranov rank $Krk_R(A) = \text{minimum of ranks (over } K)$ of liftings of A. $trk(A) \leq Krk_R(A)$ and not always equal (Develin-Santos-Sturmfels)

Complexity of computing ranks

- For $n \times n$ matrix B testing $trk(B) = n \ (\Leftrightarrow B \text{ is tropically nonsingular})$ has polynomial complexity (Butkovic-Hevery);
- trk(A) = r is NP-hard, $trk(A) \ge r$ is NP-complete (Kim-Roush);
- Solvability of polynomial equations over R is reducible to $Krk_R(A) = 3$ (Kim-Roush).

Example $R = \mathbb{Q}$ or R = GF[p](t).

Tropical rank trk(A) of matrix A is the maximal size of its tropically nonsingular square submatrices.

A lifting of A is a matrix $F=(f_{i,j})$ over the field of Newton-Puiseux series $K=R((t^{1/\infty}))$ for a field R such that the order $ord_t(f_{i,j})=a_{i,j}$ where $f_{i,j}=b_1\cdot t^{q_1}+b_2\cdot t^{q_2}+\cdots$ with rational exponents $a_{i,j}=q_1< q_2<\cdots$ having common denominator, or $f_{i,j}=0$ when $a_{i,j}=\infty$.

Kapranov rank $Krk_R(A)$ = minimum of ranks (over K) of liftings of A. $trk(A) \le Krk_R(A)$ and not always equal (Develin-Santos-Sturmfels)

- For $n \times n$ matrix B testing $trk(B) = n \iff B$ is tropically nonsingular) has polynomial complexity (Butkovic-Hevery);
- trk(A) = r is NP-hard, $trk(A) \ge r$ is NP-complete (Kim-Roush);
- Solvability of polynomial equations over R is reducible to $Krk_{R}(A) = 3$ (Kim-Roush).
- **Example** $R = \mathbb{Q}$ or R = GF[p](t).

Tropical rank trk(A) of matrix A is the maximal size of its tropically nonsingular square submatrices.

A lifting of A is a matrix $F=(f_{i,j})$ over the field of Newton-Puiseux series $K=R((t^{1/\infty}))$ for a field R such that the order $ord_t(f_{i,j})=a_{i,j}$ where $f_{i,j}=b_1\cdot t^{q_1}+b_2\cdot t^{q_2}+\cdots$ with rational exponents $a_{i,j}=q_1< q_2<\cdots$ having common denominator, or $f_{i,j}=0$ when $a_{i,j}=\infty$.

Kapranov rank $Krk_R(A) = \text{minimum of ranks (over } K)$ of liftings of A. $trk(A) \leq Krk_R(A)$ and not always equal (Develin-Santos-Sturmfels)

- For $n \times n$ matrix B testing $trk(B) = n \ (\Leftrightarrow B \text{ is tropically nonsingular})$ has polynomial complexity (Butkovic-Hevery);
- trk(A) = r is NP-hard, $trk(A) \ge r$ is NP-complete (Kim-Roush);
- Solvability of polynomial equations over R is reducible to $Krk_{R}(A) = 3$ (Kim-Roush).
 - **Example** $R = \mathbb{Q}$ or R = GF[p](t).

Tropical rank trk(A) of matrix A is the maximal size of its tropically nonsingular square submatrices.

A lifting of A is a matrix $F=(f_{i,j})$ over the field of Newton-Puiseux series $K=R((t^{1/\infty}))$ for a field R such that the order $ord_t(f_{i,j})=a_{i,j}$ where $f_{i,j}=b_1\cdot t^{q_1}+b_2\cdot t^{q_2}+\cdots$ with rational exponents $a_{i,j}=q_1< q_2<\cdots$ having common denominator, or $f_{i,j}=0$ when $a_{i,j}=\infty$.

Kapranov rank $Krk_R(A) = minimum of ranks (over K) of liftings of A.$

 $\mathit{trk}(A) \leq \mathit{Krk}_R(A)$ and not always equal (Develin-Santos-Sturmfels)

- For $n \times n$ matrix B testing $trk(B) = n \iff B$ is tropically nonsingular) has polynomial complexity (Butkovic-Hevery);
- trk(A) = r is NP-hard, $trk(A) \ge r$ is NP-complete (Kim-Roush);
- Solvability of polynomial equations over R is reducible to $Krk_{-}(A) = 3$ (Kim-Roush)
 - **Example** $R = \mathbb{Q}$ or R = GF[p](t).

Tropical rank trk(A) of matrix A is the maximal size of its tropically nonsingular square submatrices.

A lifting of A is a matrix $F=(f_{i,j})$ over the field of Newton-Puiseux series $K=R((t^{1/\infty}))$ for a field R such that the order $ord_t(f_{i,j})=a_{i,j}$ where $f_{i,j}=b_1\cdot t^{q_1}+b_2\cdot t^{q_2}+\cdots$ with rational exponents $a_{i,j}=q_1< q_2<\cdots$ having common denominator, or $f_{i,j}=0$ when $a_{i,j}=\infty$.

Kapranov rank $Krk_R(A) = \text{minimum of ranks (over } K)$ of liftings of A. $trk(A) \leq Krk_R(A)$ and not always equal (Develin-Santos-Sturmfels)

- For $n \times n$ matrix B testing $trk(B) = n \ (\Leftrightarrow B \text{ is tropically nonsingular})$ has polynomial complexity (Butkovic-Hevery);
- trk(A) = r is NP-hard, $trk(A) \ge r$ is NP-complete (Kim-Roush);
- Solvability of polynomial equations over R is reducible to $Krk_{P}(A) = 3$ (Kim-Roush).
- **Example** $R = \mathbb{Q}$ or R = GF[p](t).

Tropical rank trk(A) of matrix A is the maximal size of its tropically nonsingular square submatrices.

A lifting of A is a matrix $F=(f_{i,j})$ over the field of Newton-Puiseux series $K=R((t^{1/\infty}))$ for a field R such that the order $ord_t(f_{i,j})=a_{i,j}$ where $f_{i,j}=b_1\cdot t^{q_1}+b_2\cdot t^{q_2}+\cdots$ with rational exponents $a_{i,j}=q_1< q_2<\cdots$ having common denominator, or $f_{i,j}=0$ when $a_{i,j}=\infty$.

Kapranov rank $Krk_R(A) = \text{minimum of ranks (over } K)$ of liftings of A. $trk(A) \leq Krk_R(A)$ and not always equal (Develin-Santos-Sturmfels)

- For $n \times n$ matrix B testing $trk(B) = n \iff B$ is tropically nonsingular) has polynomial complexity (Butkovic-Hevery);
- trk(A) = r is NP-hard, $trk(A) \ge r$ is NP-complete (Kim-Roush);
- Solvability of polynomial equations over R is reducible to
- **Example** $B = \mathbb{O}$ or B = GF[p](t)

Tropical rank trk(A) of matrix A is the maximal size of its tropically nonsingular square submatrices.

A lifting of A is a matrix $F=(f_{i,j})$ over the field of Newton-Puiseux series $K=R((t^{1/\infty}))$ for a field R such that the order $ord_t(f_{i,j})=a_{i,j}$ where $f_{i,j}=b_1\cdot t^{q_1}+b_2\cdot t^{q_2}+\cdots$ with rational exponents $a_{i,j}=q_1< q_2<\cdots$ having common denominator, or $f_{i,j}=0$ when $a_{i,j}=\infty$.

Kapranov rank $Krk_R(A) = \text{minimum of ranks (over } K)$ of liftings of A. $trk(A) \leq Krk_R(A)$ and not always equal (Develin-Santos-Sturmfels)

- For $n \times n$ matrix B testing $trk(B) = n \iff B$ is tropically nonsingular) has polynomial complexity (Butkovic-Hevery);
- trk(A) = r is NP-hard, $trk(A) \ge r$ is NP-complete (Kim-Roush);
- Solvability of polynomial equations over *R* is reducible to
- **Example** $B = \mathbb{Q}$ or B = GF[n](t)

Tropical rank trk(A) of matrix A is the maximal size of its tropically nonsingular square submatrices.

A lifting of A is a matrix $F=(f_{i,j})$ over the field of Newton-Puiseux series $K=R((t^{1/\infty}))$ for a field R such that the order $ord_t(f_{i,j})=a_{i,j}$ where $f_{i,j}=b_1\cdot t^{q_1}+b_2\cdot t^{q_2}+\cdots$ with rational exponents $a_{i,j}=q_1< q_2<\cdots$ having common denominator, or $f_{i,j}=0$ when $a_{i,j}=\infty$.

Kapranov rank $Krk_R(A) = \text{minimum of ranks (over } K)$ of liftings of A. $trk(A) \leq Krk_R(A)$ and not always equal (Develin-Santos-Sturmfels)

- For $n \times n$ matrix B testing $trk(B) = n \iff B$ is tropically nonsingular) has polynomial complexity (Butkovic-Hevery);
- trk(A) = r is NP-hard, $trk(A) \ge r$ is NP-complete (Kim-Roush);
- Solvability of polynomial equations over R is reducible to $Krk_R(A) = 3$ (Kim-Roush).
- **Example** $R = \mathbb{Q}$ or R = GF[p](t).

Tropical rank trk(A) of matrix A is the maximal size of its tropically nonsingular square submatrices.

A lifting of A is a matrix $F=(f_{i,j})$ over the field of Newton-Puiseux series $K=R((t^{1/\infty}))$ for a field R such that the order $ord_t(f_{i,j})=a_{i,j}$ where $f_{i,j}=b_1\cdot t^{q_1}+b_2\cdot t^{q_2}+\cdots$ with rational exponents $a_{i,j}=q_1< q_2<\cdots$ having common denominator, or $f_{i,j}=0$ when $a_{i,j}=\infty$.

Kapranov rank $Krk_R(A) = \text{minimum of ranks (over } K)$ of liftings of A. $trk(A) \leq Krk_R(A)$ and not always equal (Develin-Santos-Sturmfels)

- For $n \times n$ matrix B testing $trk(B) = n \iff B$ is tropically nonsingular) has polynomial complexity (Butkovic-Hevery);
- trk(A) = r is NP-hard, $trk(A) \ge r$ is NP-complete (Kim-Roush);
- Solvability of polynomial equations over R is reducible to $Krk_R(A) = 3$ (Kim-Roush).

Tropical rank trk(A) of matrix A is the maximal size of its tropically nonsingular square submatrices.

A lifting of A is a matrix $F=(f_{i,j})$ over the field of Newton-Puiseux series $K=R((t^{1/\infty}))$ for a field R such that the order $ord_t(f_{i,j})=a_{i,j}$ where $f_{i,j}=b_1\cdot t^{q_1}+b_2\cdot t^{q_2}+\cdots$ with rational exponents $a_{i,j}=q_1< q_2<\cdots$ having common denominator, or $f_{i,j}=0$ when $a_{i,j}=\infty$.

Kapranov rank $Krk_R(A) = \text{minimum of ranks (over } K)$ of liftings of A. $trk(A) \leq Krk_R(A)$ and not always equal (Develin-Santos-Sturmfels)

Complexity of computing ranks

- For $n \times n$ matrix B testing $trk(B) = n \iff B$ is tropically nonsingular) has polynomial complexity (Butkovic-Hevery);
- trk(A) = r is NP-hard, $trk(A) \ge r$ is NP-complete (Kim-Roush);
- Solvability of polynomial equations over R is reducible to $Krk_R(A) = 3$ (Kim-Roush).

Example $R = \mathbb{Q}$ or R = GF[p](t).

Barvinok rank

Brk(A) is the minimal q such that $A = (u_1 \otimes v_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (u_q \otimes v_q)$ for suitable vectors u_1, \ldots, v_q over T

 $Krk_R(A) \leq Brk(A)$ and the equality is not always true (Develin-Santos-Sturmfels)

Computing Barvinok rank is NP-hard (Kim-Roush)

Barvinok rank

Brk(A) is the minimal q such that $A = (u_1 \otimes v_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (u_q \otimes v_q)$ for suitable vectors u_1, \ldots, v_q over T

 $Krk_R(A) \leq Brk(A)$ and the equality is not always true (Develin-Santos-Sturmfels)

Computing Barvinok rank is NP-hard (Kim-Roush)

Barvinok rank

Brk(A) is the minimal q such that $A = (u_1 \otimes v_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (u_q \otimes v_q)$ for suitable vectors u_1, \ldots, v_q over T

 $Krk_R(A) \leq Brk(A)$ and the equality is not always true (Develin-Santos-Sturmfels)

Computing Barvinok rank is NP-hard (Kim-Roush)

The theorem on complexity of solving tropical linear systems implies

Corollary

The following statements are equivalent

- 1) a tropical linear system with $m \times n$ matrix A has a solution;
- 2) trk(A) < n;
- 3) $Krk_R(A) < n$.

Remark

- The corollary holds for matrices over \mathbb{R}_{∞} .
- For matrices A with finite coefficients from \mathbb{R} it was proved by Develin-Santos-Sturmfels.
- Equivalence of 1) and 2) was established by Izhakian-Rowen.

The theorem on complexity of solving tropical linear systems implies

Corollary

The following statements are equivalent

- 1) a tropical linear system with $m \times n$ matrix A has a solution;
- 2) trk(A) < n;
- 3) $Krk_R(A) < n$.

Remark

- The corollary holds for matrices over \mathbb{R}_{∞} .
- For matrices A with **finite** coefficients from $\mathbb R$ it was proved by Develin-Santos-Sturmfels.
- Equivalence of 1) and 2) was established by Izhakian-Rowen.

The theorem on complexity of solving tropical linear systems implies

Corollary

The following statements are equivalent

- 1) a tropical linear system with $m \times n$ matrix A has a solution;
- 2) trk(A) < n;
- 3) $Krk_R(A) < n$.

Remark

- ullet The corollary holds for matrices over \mathbb{R}_{∞} .
- For matrices A with **finite** coefficients from $\mathbb R$ it was proved by Develin-Santos-Sturmfels.
- Equivalence of 1) and 2) was established by Izhakian-Rowen.

The theorem on complexity of solving tropical linear systems implies

Corollary

The following statements are equivalent

- 1) a tropical linear system with $m \times n$ matrix A has a solution;
- 2) trk(A) < n;
- 3) $Krk_R(A) < n$.

Remark

- The corollary holds for matrices over \mathbb{R}_{∞} .
- For matrices A with finite coefficients from $\mathbb R$ it was proved by Develin-Santos-Sturmfels.
- Equivalence of 1) and 2) was established by Izhakian-Rowen.

The theorem on complexity of solving tropical linear systems implies

Corollary

The following statements are equivalent

- 1) a tropical linear system with $m \times n$ matrix A has a solution;
- 2) trk(A) < n;
- 3) $Krk_R(A) < n$.

Remark

- The corollary holds for matrices over \mathbb{R}_{∞} .
- For matrices A with finite coefficients from $\mathbb R$ it was proved by Develin-Santos-Sturmfels.
- Equivalence of 1) and 2) was established by Izhakian-Rowen.

The theorem on complexity of solving tropical linear systems implies

Corollary

The following statements are equivalent

- 1) a tropical linear system with $m \times n$ matrix A has a solution;
- 2) trk(A) < n;
- 3) $Krk_{R}(A) < n$.

Remark

- ullet The corollary holds for matrices over \mathbb{R}_{∞} .
- For matrices A with finite coefficients from $\mathbb R$ it was proved by Develin-Santos-Sturmfels.
- Equivalence of 1) and 2) was established by Izhakian-Rowen.

The theorem on complexity of solving tropical linear systems implies

Corollary

The following statements are equivalent

- 1) a tropical linear system with $m \times n$ matrix A has a solution;
- 2) trk(A) < n;
- 3) $Krk_{R}(A) < n$.

Remark

- The corollary holds for matrices over \mathbb{R}_{∞} .
- For matrices A with **finite** coefficients from \mathbb{R} it was proved by Develin-Santos-Sturmfels.
- Equivalence of 1) and 2) was established by Izhakian-Rowen.

The theorem on complexity of solving tropical linear systems implies

Corollary

The following statements are equivalent

- 1) a tropical linear system with $m \times n$ matrix A has a solution;
- 2) trk(A) < n;
- 3) $Krk_{R}(A) < n$.

Remark

- The corollary holds for matrices over \mathbb{R}_{∞} .
- For matrices A with **finite** coefficients from \mathbb{R} it was proved by Develin-Santos-Sturmfels.
- Equivalence of 1) and 2) was established by Izhakian-Rowen.

Theorem

Solvability of tropical polynomial systems is NP-complete (Theobald)

How to reduce tropical polynomial systems to tropical linear ones? In the classical algebra for this aim serves Nullstellensatz.

Theorem

Solvability of tropical polynomial systems is NP-complete (Theobald)

How to reduce tropical polynomial systems to tropical linear ones?

In the classical algebra for this aim serves Nullstellensatz

Theorem

Solvability of tropical polynomial systems is NP-complete (Theobald)

How to reduce tropical polynomial systems to tropical linear ones? In the classical algebra for this aim serves Nullstellensatz.

Theorem

Solvability of tropical polynomial systems is NP-complete (Theobald)

How to reduce tropical polynomial systems to tropical linear ones? In the classical algebra for this aim serves Nullstellensatz. In the tropical world the direct version of Nullstellensatz is false even for linear univariate polynomials: $X \oplus 0$, $X \oplus 1$ do not have a tropical solution, while their (tropical) ideal does not contain 0 or any other monomial (tropical monomials are the only polynomials without tropical persections).

Theorem

Solvability of tropical polynomial systems is NP-complete (Theobald)

How to reduce tropical polynomial systems to tropical linear ones? In the classical algebra for this aim serves Nullstellensatz.

Theorem

Solvability of tropical polynomial systems is NP-complete (Theobald)

How to reduce tropical polynomial systems to tropical linear ones? In the classical algebra for this aim serves Nullstellensatz.

Theorem

Solvability of tropical polynomial systems is NP-complete (Theobald)

How to reduce tropical polynomial systems to tropical linear ones? In the classical algebra for this aim serves Nullstellensatz.

For polynomials $g_1, \ldots, g_k \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ consider an infinite Macaulay matrix C with the columns indexed by monomials X^I and the rows by shifts $X^J \cdot g_i$ with their coefficients being entries of C.

Nullstellensatz: system $g_1 = \cdots = g_k = 0$ has no solution iff a linear combination of the rows of a suitable *finite* submatrix C_N of C (generated by a set of rows $X^J \cdot g_i$, $1 \le i \le k$ of C with degrees of monomials $|J| \le N$) equals vector $(1, 0, \ldots, 0)$.

Effective Nullstellensatz: $N \le (\max_{1 \le i \le k} \{\deg(g_i)\})^{O(n)}$. (Galligo, Heintz, Giusti; Kollar)

Dual Nullstellensatz: $g_1 = \cdots = g_k = 0$ has a solution iff for any finite submatrix C_N of C linear system $C_N \cdot (y_0, \dots, y_L) = 0$ has a solution with $y_0 \neq 0$.

Infinite dual Nullstellensatz: $g_1 = \cdots = g_k = 0$ has a solution iff infinite linear system $C \cdot (y_0, \dots) = 0$ has a solution with $y_0 \neq 0$.

Nullstellensatz deals with ideal $\langle g_1, \ldots, g_k \rangle$, while dual Nullstellensatz forgets the ideal, therefore, gives a hope to hold in the tropical setting,

For polynomials $g_1, \ldots, g_k \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ consider an infinite Macaulay matrix C with the columns indexed by monomials X^I and the rows by shifts $X^J \cdot g_i$ with their coefficients being entries of C.

Nullstellensatz: system $g_1 = \cdots = g_k = 0$ has no solution iff a linear combination of the rows of a suitable *finite* submatrix C_N of C (generated by a set of rows $X^J \cdot g_i$, $1 \le i \le k$ of C with degrees of monomials $|J| \le N$) equals vector $(1, 0, \ldots, 0)$.

Effective Nullstellensatz: $N \le (\max_{1 \le i \le k} \{\deg(g_i)\})^{O(n)}$. (Galligo, Heintz, Giusti; Kollar)

Dual Nullstellensatz: $g_1 = \cdots = g_k = 0$ has a solution iff for any finite submatrix C_N of C linear system $C_N \cdot (y_0, \dots, y_L) = 0$ has a solution with $y_0 \neq 0$.

Infinite dual Nullstellensatz: $g_1 = \cdots = g_k = 0$ has a solution iff infinite linear system $C \cdot (y_0, \dots) = 0$ has a solution with $y_0 \neq 0$.

Nullstellensatz deals with ideal $\langle g_1, \ldots, g_k \rangle$, while dual Nullstellensatz forgets the ideal, therefore, gives a hope to hold in the tropical setting,

For polynomials $g_1, \ldots, g_k \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ consider an infinite Macaulay matrix C with the columns indexed by monomials X^I and the rows by shifts $X^J \cdot g_i$ with their coefficients being entries of C.

Nullstellensatz: system $g_1 = \cdots = g_k = 0$ has no solution iff a linear combination of the rows of a suitable *finite* submatrix C_N of C (generated by a set of rows $X^J \cdot g_i$, $1 \le i \le k$ of C with degrees of monomials $|J| \le N$) equals vector $(1, 0, \ldots, 0)$.

Effective Nullstellensatz: $N \le (\max_{1 \le i \le k} \{\deg(g_i)\})^{O(n)}$. (Galligo, Heintz, Giusti; Kollar)

Dual Nullstellensatz: $g_1 = \cdots = g_k = 0$ has a solution iff for any finite submatrix C_N of C linear system $C_N \cdot (y_0, \dots, y_L) = 0$ has a solution with $y_0 \neq 0$.

Infinite dual Nullstellensatz: $g_1 = \cdots = g_k = 0$ has a solution iff infinite linear system $C \cdot (y_0, \dots) = 0$ has a solution with $y_0 \neq 0$.

Nullstellensatz deals with ideal $\langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$, while dual Nullstellensatz forgets the ideal, therefore, gives a hope to hold in the tropical setting, setting,

For polynomials $g_1, \ldots, g_k \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ consider an infinite Macaulay matrix C with the columns indexed by monomials X^I and the rows by shifts $X^J \cdot g_i$ with their coefficients being entries of C.

Nullstellensatz: system $g_1 = \cdots = g_k = 0$ has no solution iff a linear combination of the rows of a suitable *finite* submatrix C_N of C (generated by a set of rows $X^J \cdot g_i$, $1 \le i \le k$ of C with degrees of monomials $|J| \le N$) equals vector $(1, 0, \ldots, 0)$.

Effective Nullstellensatz: $N \le (\max_{1 \le i \le k} \{\deg(g_i)\})^{O(n)}$. (Galligo, Heintz, Giusti; Kollar)

Dual Nullstellensatz: $g_1 = \cdots = g_k = 0$ has a solution iff for any finite submatrix C_N of C linear system $C_N \cdot (y_0, \dots, y_L) = 0$ has a solution with $y_0 \neq 0$.

Infinite dual Nullstellensatz: $g_1 = \cdots = g_k = 0$ has a solution iff infinite linear system $C \cdot (y_0, \dots) = 0$ has a solution with $y_0 \neq 0$.

Nullstellensatz deals with ideal $\langle g_1,\ldots,g_k\rangle$, while dual Nullstellensatz forgets the ideal, therefore, gives a hope to hold in the tropical setting,

For polynomials $g_1, \ldots, g_k \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ consider an infinite Macaulay matrix C with the columns indexed by monomials X^I and the rows by shifts $X^J \cdot g_i$ with their coefficients being entries of C.

Nullstellensatz: system $g_1 = \cdots = g_k = 0$ has no solution iff a linear combination of the rows of a suitable *finite* submatrix C_N of C (generated by a set of rows $X^J \cdot g_i$, $1 \le i \le k$ of C with degrees of monomials $|J| \le N$) equals vector $(1, 0, \ldots, 0)$.

Effective Nullstellensatz: $N \leq (\max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \{\deg(g_i)\})^{O(n)}$. (Galligo, Heintz, Giusti; Kollar)

Dual Nullstellensatz: $g_1 = \cdots = g_k = 0$ has a solution iff for any finite submatrix C_N of C linear system $C_N \cdot (y_0, \ldots, y_L) = 0$ has a solution with $y_0 \neq 0$.

Infinite dual Nullstellensatz: $g_1 = \cdots = g_k = 0$ has a solution iff infinite linear system $C \cdot (y_0, \dots) = 0$ has a solution with $y_0 \neq 0$.

Nullstellensatz deals with ideal $\langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$, while dual Nullstellensatz forgets the ideal, therefore, gives a hope to hold in the tropical setting,

For polynomials $g_1, \ldots, g_k \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ consider an infinite Macaulay matrix C with the columns indexed by monomials X^I and the rows by shifts $X^J \cdot g_i$ with their coefficients being entries of C.

Nullstellensatz: system $g_1 = \cdots = g_k = 0$ has no solution iff a linear combination of the rows of a suitable *finite* submatrix C_N of C (generated by a set of rows $X^J \cdot g_i$, $1 \le i \le k$ of C with degrees of monomials $|J| \le N$) equals vector $(1, 0, \ldots, 0)$.

Effective Nullstellensatz: $N \le (\max_{1 \le i \le k} \{\deg(g_i)\})^{O(n)}$. (Galligo, Heintz, Giusti; Kollar)

Dual Nullstellensatz: $g_1 = \cdots = g_k = 0$ has a solution iff for any finite submatrix C_N of C linear system $C_N \cdot (y_0, \ldots, y_L) = 0$ has a solution with $y_0 \neq 0$.

Infinite dual Nullstellensatz: $g_1 = \cdots = g_k = 0$ has a solution iff infinite linear system $C \cdot (y_0, \dots) = 0$ has a solution with $y_0 \neq 0$.

Nullstellensatz deals with ideal $\langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$, while dual Nullstellensatz forgets the ideal, therefore, gives a hope to hold in the tropical setting.

Classical homogeneous (projective) effective Nullstellensatz

Let $g_0, \ldots, g_k \in \mathbb{C}[X_0, \ldots, X_n]$ be homogeneous polynomials with $\deg(g_0) \geq \deg(g_1) \geq \cdots$.

Theorem

System $g_0 = \cdots = g_k = 0$ has a solution in the projective space iff the ideal generated by g_0, \ldots, g_k does not contain the power $(X_0, \ldots, X_n)^{N_0}$ of the coordinate ideal for $N_0 = \deg(g_0) + \cdots + \deg(g_n) - n$. (Lazard)

In the dual form this means that system $g_0 = \cdots = g_k = 0$ has a solution in the projective space iff the homogeneous linear system with submatrix $C_{N_0}^{(hom)}$ of the Macaulay matrix C generated by the columns with the degrees of monomials equal N_0 , has a non-zero solution.

Thus, the bound on the degrees of monomials in the Macaulay matrix in the affine Nullstellensatz is roughly the product of the degrees (Bezout number) of the polynomials in the system, while the bound in the projective Nullstellensatz is roughly the sum of the degrees.

Classical homogeneous (projective) effective Nullstellensatz

Let $g_0, \ldots, g_k \in \mathbb{C}[X_0, \ldots, X_n]$ be homogeneous polynomials with $\deg(g_0) \geq \deg(g_1) \geq \cdots$.

Theorem

System $g_0 = \cdots = g_k = 0$ has a solution in the projective space iff the ideal generated by g_0, \ldots, g_k does not contain the power $(X_0, \ldots, X_n)^{N_0}$ of the coordinate ideal for $N_0 = \deg(g_0) + \cdots + \deg(g_n) - n$. (Lazard)

In the dual form this means that system $g_0 = \cdots = g_k = 0$ has a solution in the projective space iff the homogeneous linear system with submatrix $C_{N_0}^{(hom)}$ of the Macaulay matrix C generated by the columns with the degrees of monomials equal N_0 , has a non-zero solution.

Thus, the bound on the degrees of monomials in the Macaulay matrix in the affine Nullstellensatz is roughly the product of the degrees (Bezout number) of the polynomials in the system, while the bound in the projective Nullstellensatz is roughly the sum of the degrees.

Classical homogeneous (projective) effective Nullstellensatz

Let $g_0, \ldots, g_k \in \mathbb{C}[X_0, \ldots, X_n]$ be homogeneous polynomials with $\deg(g_0) \geq \deg(g_1) \geq \cdots$.

Theorem

System $g_0 = \cdots = g_k = 0$ has a solution in the projective space iff the ideal generated by g_0, \ldots, g_k does not contain the power $(X_0, \ldots, X_n)^{N_0}$ of the coordinate ideal for $N_0 = \deg(g_0) + \cdots + \deg(g_n) - n$. (Lazard)

In the dual form this means that system $g_0 = \cdots = g_k = 0$ has a solution in the projective space iff the homogeneous linear system with submatrix $C_{N_0}^{(hom)}$ of the Macaulay matrix C generated by the columns with the degrees of monomials equal N_0 , has a non-zero solution.

Thus, the bound on the degrees of monomials in the Macaulay matrix in the affine Nullstellensatz is roughly the product of the degrees (Bezout number) of the polynomials in the system, while the bound in the projective Nullstellensatz is roughly the sum of the degrees,

Classical homogeneous (projective) effective Nullstellensatz

Let $g_0, \ldots, g_k \in \mathbb{C}[X_0, \ldots, X_n]$ be homogeneous polynomials with $\deg(g_0) \geq \deg(g_1) \geq \cdots$.

Theorem

System $g_0 = \cdots = g_k = 0$ has a solution in the projective space iff the ideal generated by g_0, \ldots, g_k does not contain the power $(X_0, \ldots, X_n)^{N_0}$ of the coordinate ideal for $N_0 = \deg(g_0) + \cdots + \deg(g_n) - n$. (Lazard)

In the dual form this means that system $g_0 = \cdots = g_k = 0$ has a solution in the projective space iff the homogeneous linear system with submatrix $C_{N_0}^{(hom)}$ of the Macaulay matrix C generated by the columns with the degrees of monomials equal N_0 , has a non-zero solution.

Thus, the bound on the degrees of monomials in the Macaulay matrix in the affine Nullstellensatz is roughly the product of the degrees (Bezout number) of the polynomials in the system, while the bound in the projective Nullstellensatz is roughly the sum of the degrees.

Classical homogeneous (projective) effective Nullstellensatz

Let $g_0, \ldots, g_k \in \mathbb{C}[X_0, \ldots, X_n]$ be homogeneous polynomials with $\deg(g_0) \geq \deg(g_1) \geq \cdots$.

Theorem

System $g_0 = \cdots = g_k = 0$ has a solution in the projective space iff the ideal generated by g_0, \ldots, g_k does not contain the power $(X_0, \ldots, X_n)^{N_0}$ of the coordinate ideal for $N_0 = \deg(g_0) + \cdots + \deg(g_n) - n$. (Lazard)

In the dual form this means that system $g_0 = \cdots = g_k = 0$ has a solution in the projective space iff the homogeneous linear system with submatrix $C_{N_0}^{(hom)}$ of the Macaulay matrix C generated by the columns with the degrees of monomials equal N_0 , has a non-zero solution.

Thus, the bound on the degrees of monomials in the Macaulay matrix in the affine Nullstellensatz is roughly the product of the degrees (Bezout number) of the polynomials in the system, while the bound in the projective Nullstellensatz is roughly the sum of the degrees.

Assume w.l.o.g. that for tropical polynomials $h = \bigoplus_J (a_J \otimes X^{\otimes J})$ in n variables which we consider, function $J \to a_J$ is concave on \mathbb{R}^n . This assumption does not change tropical prevarieties, the results hold without it, but it makes the geometric intuition more transparent. For tropical polynomials h_1, \ldots, h_k consider (infinite) Macaulay matrix H with the rows indexed by $X^{\otimes I} \otimes h_i$ for $I \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, 1 < i < k.

Theorem

Tropical polynomials h_1, \ldots, h_k have a solution over \mathbb{R} iff tropical linear system $H_N \otimes (z_0, \ldots, z_L)$ has a solution over \mathbb{R} where H_N is (finite) submatrix of H generated by its rows $X^{\otimes l} \otimes h_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ for $|I| \leq N = (n+2) \cdot (\operatorname{trdeg}(h_1) + \cdots + \operatorname{trdeg}(h_k))$. (**G.-Podolskii**)

Conjecture is that the latter bound is $O(trdeg(h_1) + \cdots + trdeg(h_k))$. In case k = 2, n = 1 the bound $trdeg(h_1) + trdeg(h_2)$ was proved by **Tabera** using the classical resultant and **Kapranov's** theorem: for a polynomial $f \in R((t^{1/\infty}))[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ it holds:

Assume w.l.o.g. that for tropical polynomials $h = \bigoplus_J (a_J \otimes X^{\otimes J})$ in n variables which we consider, function $J \to a_J$ is concave on \mathbb{R}^n . This assumption does not change tropical prevarieties, the results hold without it, but it makes the geometric intuition more transparent.

For tropical polynomials h_1, \ldots, h_k consider (infinite) Macaulay matrix H with the rows indexed by $X^{\otimes l} \otimes h_i$ for $l \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Theorem

Tropical polynomials h_1, \ldots, h_k have a solution over \mathbb{R} iff tropical linear system $H_N \otimes (z_0, \ldots, z_L)$ has a solution over \mathbb{R} where H_N is (finite) submatrix of H generated by its rows $X^{\otimes l} \otimes h_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ for $|I| \leq N = (n+2) \cdot (\operatorname{trdeg}(h_1) + \cdots + \operatorname{trdeg}(h_k))$. (G.-Podolskii)

Conjecture is that the latter bound is $O(trdeg(h_1) + \cdots + trdeg(h_k))$. In case k = 2, n = 1 the bound $trdeg(h_1) + trdeg(h_2)$ was proved by **Tabera** using the classical resultant and **Kapranov's** theorem: for a polynomial $f \in R((t^{1/\infty}))[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ it holds:

Assume w.l.o.g. that for tropical polynomials $h = \bigoplus_J (a_J \otimes X^{\otimes J})$ in n variables which we consider, function $J \to a_J$ is concave on \mathbb{R}^n . This assumption does not change tropical prevarieties, the results hold without it, but it makes the geometric intuition more transparent. For tropical polynomials h_1, \ldots, h_k consider (infinite) Macaulay matrix H with the rows indexed by $X^{\otimes I} \otimes h_i$ for $I \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $1 \le i \le k$.

Theorem

Tropical polynomials h_1, \ldots, h_k have a solution over \mathbb{R} iff tropical linear system $H_N \otimes (z_0, \ldots, z_L)$ has a solution over \mathbb{R} where H_N is (finite) submatrix of H generated by its rows $X^{\otimes l} \otimes h_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ for $|I| \leq N = (n+2) \cdot (\operatorname{trdeg}(h_1) + \cdots + \operatorname{trdeg}(h_k))$. (**G.-Podolskii**)

Conjecture is that the latter bound is $O(trdeg(h_1) + \cdots + trdeg(h_k))$. In case k = 2, n = 1 the bound $trdeg(h_1) + trdeg(h_2)$ was proved by **Tabera** using the classical resultant and **Kapranov's** theorem: for a polynomial $f \in R((t^{1/\infty}))[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ it holds:

Assume w.l.o.g. that for tropical polynomials $h = \bigoplus_J (a_J \otimes X^{\otimes J})$ in n variables which we consider, function $J \to a_J$ is concave on \mathbb{R}^n . This assumption does not change tropical prevarieties, the results hold without it, but it makes the geometric intuition more transparent. For tropical polynomials h_1, \ldots, h_k consider (infinite) Macaulay matrix H with the rows indexed by $X^{\otimes I} \otimes h_i$ for $I \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $1 \le i \le k$.

Theorem

Tropical polynomials h_1,\ldots,h_k have a solution over $\mathbb R$ iff tropical linear system $H_N\otimes (z_0,\ldots,z_L)$ has a solution over $\mathbb R$ where H_N is (finite) submatrix of H generated by its rows $X^{\otimes I}\otimes h_i,\ 1\leq i\leq k$ for $|I|\leq N=(n+2)\cdot(\operatorname{trdeg}(h_1)+\cdots+\operatorname{trdeg}(h_k))$. (G.-Podolskii)

Conjecture is that the latter bound is $O(trdeg(h_1) + \cdots + trdeg(h_k))$. In case k = 2, n = 1 the bound $trdeg(h_1) + trdeg(h_2)$ was proved by **Tabera** using the classical resultant and **Kapranov's** theorem: for a polynomial $f \in R((t^{1/\infty}))[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ it holds: Prevariety(Trop(f)) = Trop(Variety(f))

Assume w.l.o.g. that for tropical polynomials $h = \bigoplus_J (a_J \otimes X^{\otimes J})$ in n variables which we consider, function $J \to a_J$ is concave on \mathbb{R}^n . This assumption does not change tropical prevarieties, the results hold without it, but it makes the geometric intuition more transparent. For tropical polynomials h_1, \ldots, h_k consider (infinite) Macaulay matrix H with the rows indexed by $X^{\otimes I} \otimes h_i$ for $I \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $1 \le i \le k$.

Theorem

Tropical polynomials h_1, \ldots, h_k have a solution over $\mathbb R$ iff tropical linear system $H_N \otimes (z_0, \ldots, z_L)$ has a solution over $\mathbb R$ where H_N is (finite) submatrix of H generated by its rows $X^{\otimes I} \otimes h_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ for $|I| \leq N = (n+2) \cdot (\operatorname{trdeg}(h_1) + \cdots + \operatorname{trdeg}(h_k))$. (G.-Podolskii)

Conjecture is that the latter bound is $O(trdeg(h_1) + \cdots + trdeg(h_k))$.

In case k = 2, n = 1 the bound $trdeg(h_1) + trdeg(h_2)$ was proved by **Tabera** using the classical resultant and **Kapranov's** theorem: for a polynomial $f \in R((t^{1/\infty}))[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ it holds: Prevariety(Trop(f)) = Trop(Variety(f))

Assume w.l.o.g. that for tropical polynomials $h = \bigoplus_J (a_J \otimes X^{\otimes J})$ in n variables which we consider, function $J \to a_J$ is concave on \mathbb{R}^n . This assumption does not change tropical prevarieties, the results hold without it, but it makes the geometric intuition more transparent. For tropical polynomials h_1, \ldots, h_k consider (infinite) Macaulay matrix H with the rows indexed by $X^{\otimes I} \otimes h_i$ for $I \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $1 \le i \le k$.

Theorem

Tropical polynomials h_1, \ldots, h_k have a solution over $\mathbb R$ iff tropical linear system $H_N \otimes (z_0, \ldots, z_L)$ has a solution over $\mathbb R$ where H_N is (finite) submatrix of H generated by its rows $X^{\otimes I} \otimes h_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ for $|I| \leq N = (n+2) \cdot (\operatorname{trdeg}(h_1) + \cdots + \operatorname{trdeg}(h_k))$. (G.-Podolskii)

Conjecture is that the latter bound is $O(trdeg(h_1) + \cdots + trdeg(h_k))$. In case k = 2, n = 1 the bound $trdeg(h_1) + trdeg(h_2)$ was proved by **Tabera** using the classical resultant and **Kapranov's** theorem: for a polynomial $f \in R((t^{1/\infty}))[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ it holds:

Prevariety(Trop(f)) = Trop(Variety(f))

Assume w.l.o.g. that for tropical polynomials $h = \bigoplus_{i} (a_{i} \otimes X^{\otimes J})$ in nvariables which we consider, function $J \to a_J$ is concave on \mathbb{R}^n . This assumption does not change tropical prevarieties, the results hold without it, but it makes the geometric intuition more transparent. For tropical polynomials h_1, \ldots, h_k consider (infinite) Macaulay matrix *H* with the rows indexed by $X^{\otimes l} \otimes h_i$ for $l \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, 1 < i < k.

Theorem

Tropical polynomials h_1, \ldots, h_k have a solution over \mathbb{R} iff tropical linear system $H_N \otimes (z_0, \ldots, z_l)$ has a solution over \mathbb{R} where H_N is (finite) submatrix of H generated by its rows $X^{\otimes l} \otimes h_i$, 1 < i < k for $|I| < N = (n+2) \cdot (\operatorname{trdeg}(h_1) + \cdots + \operatorname{trdeg}(h_k))$. (G.-Podolskii)

Conjecture is that the latter bound is $O(trdeg(h_1) + \cdots + trdeg(h_k))$. In case k = 2, n = 1 the bound $trdeg(h_1) + trdeg(h_2)$ was proved by **Tabera** using the classical resultant and **Kapranov's** theorem: for a polynomial $f \in R((t^{1/\infty}))[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ it holds:

Prevariety(Trop(f)) = Trop(Variety(f))

For a tropical polynomial $h = \bigoplus_J (a_J \otimes X^{\otimes J})$ consider its extended Newton polyhedron G being the convex hull of the graph $\{(J,a): a \leq -a_J\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. As vertices of G consider all the points of the form $(I,c), I \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ on the boundary of G. Let G_i correspond to $h_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$. Denote by $G^{(I)} := G + (I,0)$ a horizontal shift of G. Solution $Y := \{(J,y_J)\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ of a tropical linear system $H \otimes Y$ treat also as a graph on \mathbb{Z}^n .

The tropical dual (infinite) Nullstellensatz over $\mathbb R$ is equivalent to the following.

For any I, i take the maximal $b := b_{I,i}$ such that a vertical shift $G_i^{(I)} + (0, b) \le Y$ (pointwise as graphs on \mathbb{Z}^n).

Assume that $G_i^{(I)} + (0, b)$ has at least two common points with Y. Then there is a hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} (not containing the vertical line) which supports (after a parallel shift) each G_i , $1 \le i \le k$ at least at two points.

For a tropical polynomial $h = \bigoplus_J (a_J \otimes X^{\otimes J})$ consider its extended Newton polyhedron G being the convex hull of the graph $\{(J,a): a \leq -a_J\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. As vertices of G consider all the points of the form $(I,c), I \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ on the boundary of G. Let G_i correspond to h_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$. Denote by $G^{(i)} := G + (I,0)$ a horizontal shift of G. Solution $Y := \{(J,y_i)\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ of a tropical linear system $H \otimes Y$ trea also as a graph on \mathbb{Z}^n .

The tropical dual (infinite) Nullstellensatz over $\mathbb R$ is equivalent to the following.

For any I, i take the maximal $b := b_{I,i}$ such that a vertical shift $G_i^{(I)} + (0,b) \le Y$ (pointwise as graphs on \mathbb{Z}^n).

Assume that $G_i^{(r)}+(0,b)$ has at least two common points with Y. Then there is a hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} (not containing the vertical line) which supports (after a parallel shift) each G_i , $1 \le i \le k$ at least at two points.

For a tropical polynomial $h=\bigoplus_J (a_J\otimes X^{\otimes J})$ consider its extended Newton polyhedron G being the convex hull of the graph $\{(J,a):a\leq -a_J\}\subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. As vertices of G consider all the points of the form $(I,c),\ I\in \mathbb{Z}^n$ on the boundary of G. Let G_i correspond to $h_i,\ 1\leq i\leq k$. Denote by $G^{(I)}:=G+(I,0)$ a horizontal shift of G. Solution $Y:=\{(J,y_J)\}\subset \mathbb{Z}^n\times \mathbb{R}$ of a tropical linear system $H\otimes Y$ treat also as a graph on \mathbb{Z}^n .

The tropical dual (infinite) Nullstellensatz over $\mathbb R$ is equivalent to the following.

For any I, i take the maximal $b := b_{I,i}$ such that a vertical shift $G_i^{(I)} + (0, b) \le Y$ (pointwise as graphs on \mathbb{Z}^n).

Assume that $G_i^{r,r} + (0,b)$ has at least two common points with Y. Then there is a hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} (not containing the vertical line) which supports (after a parallel shift) each G_i , $1 \le i \le k$ at least at two points.

For a tropical polynomial $h = \bigoplus_J (a_J \otimes X^{\otimes J})$ consider its extended Newton polyhedron G being the convex hull of the graph $\{(J,a):a\leq -a_J\}\subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. As vertices of G consider all the points of the form $(I,c),\ I\in \mathbb{Z}^n$ on the boundary of G. Let G_i correspond to $h_i,\ 1\leq i\leq k$. Denote by $G^{(I)}:=G+(I,0)$ a horizontal shift of G. Solution $Y:=\{(J,y_J)\}\subset \mathbb{Z}^n\times \mathbb{R}$ of a tropical linear system $H\otimes Y$ treating as a graph on \mathbb{Z}^n .

The tropical dual (infinite) Nullstellensatz over $\mathbb R$ is equivalent to the following.

For any I, i take the maximal $b := b_{I,i}$ such that a vertical shift $G_i^{(I)} + (0, b) \le Y$ (pointwise as graphs on \mathbb{Z}^n).

Assume that $G_i^{(\prime)}+(0,b)$ has at least two common points with Y. Then there is a hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} (not containing the vertical line) which supports (after a parallel shift) each G_i , $1 \le i \le k$ at least at two points.

For a tropical polynomial $h=\bigoplus_J (a_J\otimes X^{\otimes J})$ consider its extended Newton polyhedron G being the convex hull of the graph $\{(J,a):a\leq -a_J\}\subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. As vertices of G consider all the points of the form $(I,c),\ I\in \mathbb{Z}^n$ on the boundary of G. Let G_i correspond to $h_i,\ 1\leq i\leq k$. Denote by $G^{(I)}:=G+(I,0)$ a horizontal shift of G. Solution $Y:=\{(J,y_J)\}\subset \mathbb{Z}^n\times \mathbb{R}$ of a tropical linear system $H\otimes Y$ treat also as a graph on \mathbb{Z}^n .

The tropical dual (infinite) Nullstellensatz over $\mathbb R$ is equivalent to the following.

For any I, i take the maximal $b := b_{I,i}$ such that a vertical shift $G_i^{(I)} + (0, b) \le Y$ (pointwise as graphs on \mathbb{Z}^n).

Assume that $G_i^{(r)} + (0, b)$ has at least two common points with Y. Then there is a hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} (not containing the vertical line) which supports (after a parallel shift) each G_i , $1 \le i \le k$ at least at two points.

For a tropical polynomial $h=\bigoplus_J (a_J\otimes X^{\otimes J})$ consider its extended Newton polyhedron G being the convex hull of the graph $\{(J,a):a\leq -a_J\}\subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. As vertices of G consider all the points of the form $(I,c),\ I\in \mathbb{Z}^n$ on the boundary of G. Let G_i correspond to $h_i,\ 1\leq i\leq k$. Denote by $G^{(I)}:=G+(I,0)$ a horizontal shift of G. Solution $Y:=\{(J,y_J)\}\subset \mathbb{Z}^n\times \mathbb{R}$ of a tropical linear system $H\otimes Y$ treat also as a graph on \mathbb{Z}^n .

The tropical dual (infinite) Nullstellensatz over \mathbb{R} is equivalent to the following.

For any I, i take the maximal $b := b_{I,i}$ such that a vertical shift $G_i^{(I)} + (0, b) \le Y$ (pointwise as graphs on \mathbb{Z}^n).

Assume that $G_i^{(I)} + (0, b)$ has at least two common points with Y. Then there is a hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} (not containing the vertical line) which supports (after a parallel shift) each G_i , $1 \le i \le k$ at least at two points.

For a tropical polynomial $h=\bigoplus_J (a_J\otimes X^{\otimes J})$ consider its extended Newton polyhedron G being the convex hull of the graph $\{(J,a):a\leq -a_J\}\subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. As vertices of G consider all the points of the form $(I,c),\ I\in \mathbb{Z}^n$ on the boundary of G. Let G_i correspond to $h_i,\ 1\leq i\leq k$. Denote by $G^{(I)}:=G+(I,0)$ a horizontal shift of G. Solution $Y:=\{(J,y_J)\}\subset \mathbb{Z}^n\times \mathbb{R}$ of a tropical linear system $H\otimes Y$ treat also as a graph on \mathbb{Z}^n .

The tropical dual (infinite) Nullstellensatz over \mathbb{R} is equivalent to the following.

For any I, i take the maximal $b := b_{I,i}$ such that a vertical shift $G_i^{(I)} + (0, b) \le Y$ (pointwise as graphs on \mathbb{Z}^n).

Assume that $G_i^{(1)} + (0, b)$ has at least two common points with Y. Then there is a hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} (not containing the vertical line) which supports (after a parallel shift) each G_i , $1 \le i \le k$ at least at two points.

For a tropical polynomial $h=\bigoplus_J(a_J\otimes X^{\otimes J})$ consider its extended Newton polyhedron G being the convex hull of the graph $\{(J,a):a\leq -a_J\}\subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. As vertices of G consider all the points of the form $(I,c),\ I\in \mathbb{Z}^n$ on the boundary of G. Let G_i correspond to $h_i,\ 1\leq i\leq k$. Denote by $G^{(I)}:=G+(I,0)$ a horizontal shift of G. Solution $Y:=\{(J,y_J)\}\subset \mathbb{Z}^n\times \mathbb{R}$ of a tropical linear system $H\otimes Y$ treat also as a graph on \mathbb{Z}^n .

The tropical dual (infinite) Nullstellensatz over \mathbb{R} is equivalent to the following.

For any I, i take the maximal $b := b_{l,i}$ such that a vertical shift $G_i^{(l)} + (0, b) \le Y$ (pointwise as graphs on \mathbb{Z}^n).

Assume that $G_i^{(I)} + (0, b)$ has at least two common points with Y.

Then there is a hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} (not containing the vertical line) which supports (after a parallel shift) each G_i , $1 \le i \le k$ at least at two points.

For a tropical polynomial $h=\bigoplus_J (a_J\otimes X^{\otimes J})$ consider its extended Newton polyhedron G being the convex hull of the graph $\{(J,a):a\leq -a_J\}\subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. As vertices of G consider all the points of the form $(I,c),\ I\in \mathbb{Z}^n$ on the boundary of G. Let G_i correspond to $h_i,\ 1\leq i\leq k$. Denote by $G^{(I)}:=G+(I,0)$ a horizontal shift of G. Solution $Y:=\{(J,y_J)\}\subset \mathbb{Z}^n\times \mathbb{R}$ of a tropical linear system $H\otimes Y$ treat also as a graph on \mathbb{Z}^n .

The tropical dual (infinite) Nullstellensatz over \mathbb{R} is equivalent to the following.

For any I, i take the maximal $b := b_{l,i}$ such that a vertical shift $G_i^{(l)} + (0, b) \le Y$ (pointwise as graphs on \mathbb{Z}^n).

Assume that $G_i^{(I)} + (0, b)$ has at least two common points with Y. Then there is a hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} (not containing the vertical line) which supports (after a parallel shift) each G_i , $1 \le i \le k$ at least at two points.

Theorem

A system of tropical polynomials h_1, \ldots, h_k has a zero over \mathbb{R}_{∞} iff the tropical non-homogeneous linear system with a finite submatrix H_N of the Macaulay matrix H generated by its rows $X^{\otimes l} \otimes h_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ has a tropical solution over \mathbb{R}_{∞} where tropical degrees $|I| < N = O(kn^2(2 \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \{ \operatorname{trdeg}(h_j) \})^{O(\min\{n,k\})})$ (G.-Podolskii)

Thus, the following table of bounds for effective Nullstellensätze demonstrates a similarity of tropical geometry with the complex one



Theorem

A system of tropical polynomials h_1,\ldots,h_k has a zero over \mathbb{R}_∞ iff the tropical non-homogeneous linear system with a finite submatrix H_N of the Macaulay matrix H generated by its rows $X^{\otimes l} \otimes h_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ has a tropical solution over \mathbb{R}_∞ where tropical degrees $|I| < N = O(kn^2(2\max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \{\operatorname{trdeg}(h_j)\})^{O(\min\{n,k\})})$ (G.-Podolskii)

Thus, the following table of bounds for effective Nullstellensätze demonstrates a similarity of tropical geometry with the complex one

Theorem

A system of tropical polynomials h_1,\ldots,h_k has a zero over \mathbb{R}_∞ iff the tropical non-homogeneous linear system with a finite submatrix H_N of the Macaulay matrix H generated by its rows $X^{\otimes l} \otimes h_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ has a tropical solution over \mathbb{R}_∞ where tropical degrees $|I| < N = O(kn^2(2\max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \{\operatorname{trdeg}(h_j)\})^{O(\min\{n,k\})})$ (G.-Podolskii)

Thus, the following table of bounds for effective Nullstellensätze demonstrates a similarity of tropical geometry with the complex one

Theorem

A system of tropical polynomials h_1,\ldots,h_k has a zero over \mathbb{R}_{∞} iff the tropical non-homogeneous linear system with a finite submatrix H_N of the Macaulay matrix H generated by its rows $X^{\otimes l} \otimes h_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ has a tropical solution over \mathbb{R}_{∞} where tropical degrees $|I| < N = O(kn^2(2\max_{1 \leq j \leq k}\{\operatorname{trdeg}(h_j)\})^{O(\min\{n,k\})})$ (G.-Podolskii)

Thus, the following table of bounds for effective Nullstellensätze demonstrates a similarity of tropical geometry with the complex one

Theorem

A system of tropical polynomials h_1,\ldots,h_k has a zero over \mathbb{R}_{∞} iff the tropical non-homogeneous linear system with a finite submatrix H_N of the Macaulay matrix H generated by its rows $X^{\otimes l} \otimes h_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ has a tropical solution over \mathbb{R}_{∞} where tropical degrees $|I| < N = O(kn^2(2\max_{1 \leq j \leq k}\{\operatorname{trdeg}(h_j)\})^{O(\min\{n,k\})})$ (G.-Podolskii)

Thus, the following table of bounds for effective Nullstellensätze demonstrates a similarity of tropical geometry with the complex one

$$K = \mathbb{C}((t^{1/\infty})) = \{c = c_0 t^{i_0/q} + c_1 t^{(i_0+1)/q} + \cdots \}$$

is a field of Puiseux series where $i_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, \ 1 \leq q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Consider an ideal $I \subset K[X_1, ..., X_n]$, the variety of its solutions $U(I) \subset K^n$.

Tropicalization $Trop(c) = i_0/q$, $Trop(0) = \infty$.

The closure in the Euclidean topology $V := \overline{Trop(U(I))} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called the **tropical variety** of I.

 $\overline{Trop(U(f))} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a **tropical hypersurface** where $f \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$.

 $V(f_1, ..., f_k) := Trop(U(f_1)) \cap \cdots \cap Trop(U(f_k))$ is a **tropical prevariety**. Any tropical variety is a tropical prevariety (**Speyer-Sturmfels**), but not necessary vice versa.

$$K = \mathbb{C}((t^{1/\infty})) = \{c = c_0 t^{i_0/q} + c_1 t^{(i_0+1)/q} + \cdots \}$$

is a field of Puiseux series where $i_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \le q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Consider an ideal $I \subset K[X_1, ..., X_n]$, the variety of its solutions $U(I) \subset K^n$.

Tropicalization $Trop(c) = i_0/q$, $Trop(0) = \infty$.

The closure in the Euclidean topology $V:=\overline{\textit{Trop}(\textit{U(I)})}\subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called the **tropical variety** of *I*.

 $\overline{Trop(U(f))} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a **tropical hypersurface** where $f \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$.

 $V(f_1, ..., f_k) := Trop(U(f_1)) \cap ... \cap Trop(U(f_k))$ is a **tropical prevariety**. Any tropical variety is a tropical prevariety (**Speyer-Sturmfels**), but not necessary vice versa.

$$K = \mathbb{C}((t^{1/\infty})) = \{c = c_0 t^{i_0/q} + c_1 t^{(i_0+1)/q} + \cdots \}$$

is a field of Puiseux series where $i_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \le q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Consider an ideal $I \subset K[X_1, ..., X_n]$, the variety of its solutions $U(I) \subset K^n$.

Tropicalization $Trop(c) = i_0/q$, $Trop(0) = \infty$.

The closure in the Euclidean topology $V:=\overline{\textit{Trop}(U(I))}\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ is called the **tropical variety** of I.

 $\overline{Trop(U(f))} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a **tropical hypersurface** where $f \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$.

 $V(f_1, ..., f_k) := Trop(U(f_1)) \cap ... \cap Trop(U(f_k))$ is a **tropical prevariety**. Any tropical variety is a tropical prevariety (**Speyer-Sturmfels**), but not necessary vice versa.

$$K = \mathbb{C}((t^{1/\infty})) = \{c = c_0 t^{i_0/q} + c_1 t^{(i_0+1)/q} + \cdots \}$$

is a field of Puiseux series where $i_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \le q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Consider an ideal $I \subset K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, the variety of its solutions $U(I) \subset K^n$.

Tropicalization
$$Trop(c) = i_0/q$$
, $Trop(0) = \infty$.

The closure in the Euclidean topology $V:=\overline{\textit{Trop}(U(I))}\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ is called the **tropical variety** of I.

 $\overline{Trop(U(f))} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a **tropical hypersurface** where $f \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$.

 $V(f_1, ..., f_k) := Trop(U(f_1)) \cap ... \cap Trop(U(f_k))$ is a **tropical prevariety**. Any tropical variety is a tropical prevariety (**Speyer-Sturmfels**), but not necessary vice versa.

$$K = \mathbb{C}((t^{1/\infty})) = \{c = c_0 t^{i_0/q} + c_1 t^{(i_0+1)/q} + \cdots \}$$

is a field of Puiseux series where $i_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, \ 1 \leq q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Consider an ideal $I \subset K[X_1, ..., X_n]$, the variety of its solutions $U(I) \subset K^n$.

Tropicalization $Trop(c) = i_0/q$, $Trop(0) = \infty$.

The closure in the Euclidean topology $V := \overline{Trop(U(I))} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called the **tropical variety** of I.

 $\overline{Trop(U(f))} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a **tropical hypersurface** where $f \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$.

 $V(f_1, ..., f_k) := Trop(U(f_1)) \cap \cdots \cap Trop(U(f_k))$ is a **tropical prevariety**. Any tropical variety is a tropical prevariety (**Speyer-Sturmfels**), but not necessary vice versa.

$$K = \mathbb{C}((t^{1/\infty})) = \{c = c_0 t^{i_0/q} + c_1 t^{(i_0+1)/q} + \cdots \}$$

is a field of Puiseux series where $i_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \le q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Consider an ideal $I \subset K[X_1, ..., X_n]$, the variety of its solutions $U(I) \subset K^n$.

Tropicalization $Trop(c) = i_0/q$, $Trop(0) = \infty$.

The closure in the Euclidean topology $V := \overline{Trop(U(I))} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called the **tropical variety** of I.

 $Trop(U(f)) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a tropical hypersurface where $f \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$.

 $V(f_1, ..., f_k) := Trop(U(f_1)) \cap ... \cap Trop(U(f_k))$ is a **tropical prevariety**. Any tropical variety is a tropical prevariety (**Speyer-Sturmfels**), but not necessary vice versa.

$$K = \mathbb{C}((t^{1/\infty})) = \{c = c_0 t^{i_0/q} + c_1 t^{(i_0+1)/q} + \cdots \}$$

is a field of Puiseux series where $i_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \le q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Consider an ideal $I \subset K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, the variety of its solutions $U(I) \subset K^n$.

Tropicalization $Trop(c) = i_0/q$, $Trop(0) = \infty$.

The closure in the Euclidean topology $V := \overline{Trop(U(I))} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called the **tropical variety** of I.

 $\overline{\textit{Trop}(\textit{U}(f))} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a **tropical hypersurface** where $f \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$.

 $V(f_1, ..., f_k) := Trop(U(f_1)) \cap ... \cap Trop(U(f_k))$ is a **tropical prevariety**. Any tropical variety is a tropical prevariety (**Speyer-Sturmfels**), but not necessary vice versa.

$$K = \mathbb{C}((t^{1/\infty})) = \{c = c_0 t^{i_0/q} + c_1 t^{(i_0+1)/q} + \cdots \}$$

is a field of Puiseux series where $i_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, \ 1 \leq q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Consider an ideal $I \subset K[X_1, ..., X_n]$, the variety of its solutions $U(I) \subset K^n$.

Tropicalization $Trop(c) = i_0/q$, $Trop(0) = \infty$.

The closure in the Euclidean topology $V := \overline{\textit{Trop}(U(I))} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called the **tropical variety** of I.

 $\overline{\textit{Trop}(\textit{U}(f))} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a **tropical hypersurface** where $f \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$.

 $V(f_1, ..., f_k) := \overline{\textit{Trop}(U(f_1))} \cap \cdots \cap \overline{\textit{Trop}(U(f_k))}$ is a **tropical prevariety**. Any tropical variety is a tropical prevariety (**Speyer-Sturmfels**), but not

$$K = \mathbb{C}((t^{1/\infty})) = \{c = c_0 t^{i_0/q} + c_1 t^{(i_0+1)/q} + \cdots \}$$

is a field of Puiseux series where $i_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, \ 1 \leq q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Consider an ideal $I \subset K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, the variety of its solutions $U(I) \subset K^n$.

Tropicalization $Trop(c) = i_0/q$, $Trop(0) = \infty$.

The closure in the Euclidean topology $V := \overline{\textit{Trop}(U(I))} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called the **tropical variety** of I.

 $\overline{\textit{Trop}(\textit{U}(f))} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a **tropical hypersurface** where $f \in \mathcal{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$.

 $V(f_1, \ldots, f_k) := \overline{Trop(U(f_1))} \cap \cdots \cap \overline{Trop(U(f_k))}$ is a tropical prevariety. Any tropical variety is a tropical prevariety (**Speyer-Sturmfels**), but not necessary vice versa.

$$K = \mathbb{C}((t^{1/\infty})) = \{c = c_0 t^{i_0/q} + c_1 t^{(i_0+1)/q} + \cdots \}$$

is a field of Puiseux series where $i_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \le q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Consider an ideal $I \subset K[X_1, ..., X_n]$, the variety of its solutions $U(I) \subset K^n$.

Tropicalization $Trop(c) = i_0/q$, $Trop(0) = \infty$.

The closure in the Euclidean topology $V := \overline{\textit{Trop}(U(I))} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called the **tropical variety** of I.

$$\overline{\textit{Trop}(\textit{U}(f))} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$
 is a **tropical hypersurface** where $f \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$.

 $V(f_1, \ldots, f_k) := \overline{Trop(U(f_1))} \cap \cdots \cap \overline{Trop(U(f_k))}$ is a **tropical prevariety**. Any tropical variety is a tropical prevariety (**Speyer-Sturmfels**), but not necessary vice versa.

$$K = \mathbb{C}((t^{1/\infty})) = \{c = c_0 t^{i_0/q} + c_1 t^{(i_0+1)/q} + \cdots \}$$

is a field of Puiseux series where $i_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \le q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Consider an ideal $I \subset K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, the variety of its solutions $U(I) \subset K^n$.

Tropicalization $Trop(c) = i_0/q$, $Trop(0) = \infty$.

The closure in the Euclidean topology $V := \overline{\textit{Trop}(U(I))} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called the **tropical variety** of I.

 $\overline{\textit{Trop}(\textit{U}(\textit{f}))} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\textit{n}}$ is a **tropical hypersurface** where $\textit{f} \in \textit{K}[\textit{X}_1, \ldots, \textit{X}_n]$.

 $V(f_1, \ldots, f_k) := \overline{\textit{Trop}(U(f_1))} \cap \cdots \cap \overline{\textit{Trop}(U(f_k))}$ is a **tropical prevariety**. Any tropical variety is a tropical prevariety (**Speyer-Sturmfels**), but not necessary vice versa.

$$K = \mathbb{C}((t^{1/\infty})) = \{c = c_0 t^{i_0/q} + c_1 t^{(i_0+1)/q} + \cdots \}$$

is a field of Puiseux series where $i_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \le q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Consider an ideal $I \subset K[X_1, ..., X_n]$, the variety of its solutions $U(I) \subset K^n$.

Tropicalization $Trop(c) = i_0/q$, $Trop(0) = \infty$.

The closure in the Euclidean topology $V := \overline{\textit{Trop}(U(I))} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called the **tropical variety** of I.

 $\overline{\textit{Trop}(\textit{U}(\textit{f}))} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a **tropical hypersurface** where $\textit{f} \in \textit{K}[\textit{X}_1, \ldots, \textit{X}_n]$.

 $V(f_1, \ldots, f_k) := \overline{\textit{Trop}(U(f_1))} \cap \cdots \cap \overline{\textit{Trop}(U(f_k))}$ is a **tropical prevariety**. Any tropical variety is a tropical prevariety (**Speyer-Sturmfels**), but not necessary vice versa.

Denote by $P_I \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ Newton polytope of f_i , $1 \le i \le k$.

Theorem

The number of faces of all dimensions of a tropical prevariety $V = V(f_1, ..., f_k)$ does not exceed $(2^{n+1}-1) \cdot n! \cdot Vol_n(P_1 + \cdots + P_k)$.

Theorem

(Weak inequality of discrete Morse theory, R. Forman). I-th Betti number (the rank of I-th homology group) of V is less or equal to the number of I-dimensional faces of V.

Corollary

Denote by $P_I \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ Newton polytope of f_i , $1 \le i \le k$.

Theorem

The number of faces of all dimensions of a tropical prevariety

 $V = V(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ does not exceed

 $(2^{n+1}-1)\cdot n!\cdot Vol_n(P_1+\cdots+P_k).$

Theorem

(Weak inequality of discrete Morse theory, R. Forman). I-th Betti number (the rank of I-th homology group) of V is less or equal to the number of I-dimensional faces of V.

Corollary

Denote by $P_I \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ Newton polytope of f_i , $1 \le i \le k$.

Theorem

The number of faces of all dimensions of a tropical prevariety $V = V(f_1, ..., f_k)$ does not exceed $(2^{n+1}-1) \cdot n! \cdot Vol_n(P_1 + \cdots + P_k)$.

Theorem

(Weak inequality of discrete Morse theory, R. Forman). *I-th Betti number (the rank of I-th homology group) of V is less or equal to the number of I-dimensional faces of V.*

Corollary

Denote by $P_I \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ Newton polytope of f_i , $1 \le i \le k$.

Theorem

The number of faces of all dimensions of a tropical prevariety $V = V(f_1, ..., f_k)$ does not exceed $(2^{n+1}-1) \cdot n! \cdot Vol_n(P_1 + \cdots + P_k)$.

Theorem

(Weak inequality of discrete Morse theory, R. Forman). *I-th Betti number (the rank of I-th homology group) of V is less or equal to the number of I-dimensional faces of V.*

Corollary

Corollary

(G. - N. Vorobjov). For $\operatorname{trdeg}(f_i) \leq d$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ the sum of Betti numbers of V is less than $(2^{n+1}-1) \cdot (kd)^n$.

Compare with classical polynomials

 $h_1, \ldots, h_k \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_n], \deg(h_i) \leq d$ defining a semi-algebraic set $W := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : h_i(x) \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq k\}.$

Theorem

The sum of Betti numbers of W is bounded by $(kd)^n$.

Theorem

(S. Basu) *I-th* ($l \ge 1$) Betti number of W does not exceed $\binom{k+n}{n} \cdot d^n$.

Both classical bounds are close to sharp



Corollary

(G. - N. Vorobjov). For $\operatorname{trdeg}(f_i) \leq d$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ the sum of Betti numbers of V is less than $(2^{n+1}-1) \cdot (kd)^n$.

Compare with classical polynomials

 $h_1, \ldots, h_k \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_n], \ \deg(h_i) \leq d$ defining a semi-algebraic set $W := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : h_i(x) \geq 0, \ 1 \leq i \leq k\}.$

Theorem

The sum of Betti numbers of W is bounded by $(kd)^n$.

Theorem

(S. Basu) *I-th* ($l \ge 1$) Betti number of W does not exceed $\binom{k+n}{n} \cdot d^n$.

Both classical bounds are close to sharp



Corollary

(G. - N. Vorobjov). For $\operatorname{trdeg}(f_i) \leq d$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ the sum of Betti numbers of V is less than $(2^{n+1}-1) \cdot (kd)^n$.

Compare with classical polynomials

 $h_1, \ldots, h_k \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_n], \deg(h_i) \leq d$ defining a semi-algebraic set $W := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : h_i(x) \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq k\}.$

Theorem

The sum of Betti numbers of W is bounded by $(kd)^n$.

Theorem

(S. Basu) *I-th* ($l \ge 1$) Betti number of W does not exceed $\binom{k+n}{n} \cdot d^n$.

Both classical bounds are close to sharp.



Corollary

(G. - N. Vorobjov). For $\operatorname{trdeg}(f_i) \leq d$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ the sum of Betti numbers of V is less than $(2^{n+1}-1) \cdot (kd)^n$.

Compare with classical polynomials

 $h_1, \ldots, h_k \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_n], \deg(h_i) \leq d$ defining a semi-algebraic set $W := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : h_i(x) \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq k\}.$

Theorem

The sum of Betti numbers of W is bounded by $(kd)^n$.

Theorem

(S. Basu) *I-th* ($l \ge 1$) Betti number of W does not exceed $\binom{k+n}{n} \cdot d^n$.

Both classical bounds are close to sharp.



Corollary

(G. - N. Vorobjov). For $\operatorname{trdeg}(f_i) \leq d$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ the sum of Betti numbers of V is less than $(2^{n+1}-1) \cdot (kd)^n$.

Compare with classical polynomials

 $h_1, \ldots, h_k \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_n], \deg(h_i) \leq d$ defining a semi-algebraic set $W := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : h_i(x) \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq k\}.$

Theorem

The sum of Betti numbers of W is bounded by $(kd)^n$.

Theorem

(S. Basu) *I-th* ($l \ge 1$) Betti number of W does not exceed $\binom{k+n}{n} \cdot d^n$.

Both classical bounds are close to sharp.

Bound on the number of connected components of a tropical prevariety

Theorem

(A. Davydow - G.) The number of connected components of V is less than

$$\binom{k+7n}{3n}\cdot d^{3n}.$$

This bound is close to sharp.

Question. Does a similar bound hold for Betti numbers?

Bound on the number of connected components of a tropical prevariety

Theorem

(A. Davydow - G.) The number of connected components of V is less than

$$\binom{k+7n}{3n} \cdot d^{3n}$$
.

This bound is close to sharp.

Question. Does a similar bound hold for Betti numbers?

Bound on the number of connected components of a tropical prevariety

Theorem

(A. Davydow - G.) The number of connected components of V is less than

$$\binom{k+7n}{3n} \cdot d^{3n}$$
.

This bound is close to sharp.

Question. Does a similar bound hold for Betti numbers?

For a tropical polynomial $f = \min_{1 \le j \le m} \{a_j + \sum_{1 \le i \le n} t_{j,i} X_i\}$ its shift

$$f_{s_1,...,s_n} := \min_{1 \le j \le m} \{a_j + \sum_{1 \le i \le n} (t_{j,i} + s_i) X_i\} \in I(f).$$

Fix an integer N and introduce N^n variables

 $\{u(k_1, \ldots, k_n) : 0 \le k_1, \ldots, k_n < N\}$. A **linearization** of t_{s_1, \ldots, s_n} is a tropical linear polynomial $\min_{1 \le j \le m} \{a_j + u(t_{j,1} + s_1, \ldots, t_{j,n} + s_n)\}$, provided that $0 \le t_{i,1} + s_1, \ldots, t_{j,n} + s_n < N$.

Linearizations appear as the rows of Macaulay matrix of f.

If $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in V(f)$ then point

$$\{u(k_1,\ldots,k_n)=k_1x_1+\cdots+k_nx_n: 0\leq k_1,\ldots,k_n< N\}\in\mathbb{R}^{N^n}$$



For a tropical polynomial $f = \min_{1 \le j \le m} \{a_j + \sum_{1 \le i \le n} t_{j,i} X_i\}$ its shift

$$f_{s_1,\ldots,s_n}:=\min_{1\leq j\leq m}\{a_j+\sum_{1\leq i\leq n}(t_{j,i}+s_i)X_i\}\in I(f).$$

Fix an integer N and introduce N^n variables

 $\{u(k_1,\ldots,k_n): 0 \leq k_1,\ldots,k_n < N\}$. A **linearization** of f_{s_1,\ldots,s_n} is a tropical linear polynomial $\min_{1\leq j\leq m}\{a_j+u(t_{j,1}+s_1,\ldots,t_{j,n}+s_n)\}$, provided that $0\leq t_{j,1}+s_1,\ldots,t_{j,n}+s_n < N$.

Linearizations appear as the rows of Macaulay matrix of f.

If $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in V(f)$ then point

$$\{u(k_1,\ldots,k_n)=k_1x_1+\cdots+k_nx_n: 0\leq k_1,\ldots,k_n< N\}\in\mathbb{R}^{N^n}$$



For a tropical polynomial $f = \min_{1 \le j \le m} \{a_j + \sum_{1 \le i \le n} t_{j,i} X_i\}$ its shift

$$f_{s_1,\ldots,s_n}:=\min_{1\leq j\leq m}\{a_j+\sum_{1\leq i\leq n}(t_{j,i}+s_i)X_i\}\in I(f).$$

Fix an integer N and introduce N^n variables

 $\{u(k_1, ..., k_n) : 0 \le k_1, ..., k_n < N\}$. A linearization of $f_{s_1, ..., s_n}$ is a tropical linear polynomial $\min_{1 \le j \le m} \{a_j + u(t_{j,1} + s_1, ..., t_{j,n} + s_n)\}$, provided that $0 \le t_{j,1} + s_1, ..., t_{j,n} + s_n < N$.

Linearizations appear as the rows of Macaulay matrix of f.

If $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in V(f)$ then point

$$\{u(k_1,\ldots,k_n)=k_1x_1+\cdots+k_nx_n: 0\leq k_1,\ldots,k_n< N\}\in\mathbb{R}^{N^n}$$



For a tropical polynomial $f = \min_{1 \le j \le m} \{a_j + \sum_{1 \le i \le n} t_{j,i} X_i\}$ its shift

$$f_{s_1,\ldots,s_n}:=\min_{1\leq j\leq m}\{a_j+\sum_{1\leq i\leq n}(t_{j,i}+s_i)X_i\}\in I(f).$$

Fix an integer N and introduce N^n variables

 $\{u(k_1,\ldots,k_n): 0 \leq k_1,\ldots,k_n < N\}$. A **linearization** of f_{s_1,\ldots,s_n} is a tropical linear polynomial $\min_{1\leq j\leq m}\{a_j+u(t_{j,1}+s_1,\ldots,t_{j,n}+s_n)\}$, provided that $0\leq t_{j,1}+s_1,\ldots,t_{j,n}+s_n < N$.

Linearizations appear as the rows of Macaulay matrix of f.

If $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in V(f)$ then point

$$\{u(k_1,\ldots,k_n)=k_1x_1+\cdots+k_nx_n: 0\leq k_1,\ldots,k_n< N\}\in\mathbb{R}^{N^n}$$



For a tropical polynomial $f = \min_{1 \le j \le m} \{a_j + \sum_{1 \le i \le n} t_{j,i} X_i\}$ its shift

$$f_{s_1,\ldots,s_n}:=\min_{1\leq j\leq m}\{a_j+\sum_{1\leq i\leq n}(t_{j,i}+s_i)X_i\}\in I(f).$$

Fix an integer N and introduce N^n variables

 $\{u(k_1,\ldots,k_n): 0 \leq k_1,\ldots,k_n < N\}$. A **linearization** of f_{s_1,\ldots,s_n} is a tropical linear polynomial $\min_{1\leq j\leq m}\{a_j+u(t_{j,1}+s_1,\ldots,t_{j,n}+s_n)\}$, provided that $0\leq t_{j,1}+s_1,\ldots,t_{j,n}+s_n < N$.

Linearizations appear as the rows of Macaulay matrix of f.

If $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in V(f)$ then point

$$\{u(k_1,\ldots,k_n)=k_1x_1+\cdots+k_nx_n: 0\leq k_1,\ldots,k_n< N\}\in\mathbb{R}^{N^n}$$



For a tropical polynomial $f = \min_{1 \le j \le m} \{a_j + \sum_{1 \le i \le n} t_{j,i} X_i\}$ its shift

$$f_{s_1,\ldots,s_n}:=\min_{1\leq j\leq m}\{a_j+\sum_{1\leq i\leq n}(t_{j,i}+s_i)X_i\}\in I(f).$$

Fix an integer N and introduce N^n variables

 $\{u(k_1,\ldots,k_n): 0 \leq k_1,\ldots,k_n < N\}$. A **linearization** of f_{s_1,\ldots,s_n} is a tropical linear polynomial $\min_{1\leq j\leq m}\{a_j+u(t_{j,1}+s_1,\ldots,t_{j,n}+s_n)\}$, provided that $0\leq t_{j,1}+s_1,\ldots,t_{j,n}+s_n < N$.

Linearizations appear as the rows of Macaulay matrix of f.

If
$$(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in V(f)$$
 then point

$$\{u(k_1,\ldots,k_n)=k_1x_1+\cdots+k_nx_n: 0 \leq k_1,\ldots,k_n < N\} \in \mathbb{R}^{N^n}$$



For a tropical polynomial $f = \min_{1 \le j \le m} \{a_j + \sum_{1 \le i \le n} t_{j,i} X_i\}$ its shift

$$f_{s_1,\ldots,s_n}:=\min_{1\leq j\leq m}\{a_j+\sum_{1\leq i\leq n}(t_{j,i}+s_i)X_i\}\in I(f).$$

Fix an integer N and introduce N^n variables

 $\{u(k_1,\ldots,k_n): 0 \leq k_1,\ldots,k_n < N\}$. A **linearization** of f_{s_1,\ldots,s_n} is a tropical linear polynomial $\min_{1\leq j\leq m}\{a_j+u(t_{j,1}+s_1,\ldots,t_{j,n}+s_n)\}$, provided that $0\leq t_{i,1}+s_1,\ldots,t_{i,n}+s_n < N$.

Linearizations appear as the rows of Macaulay matrix of f.

If
$$(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in V(f)$$
 then point

$$\{u(k_1,\ldots,k_n)=k_1x_1+\cdots+k_nx_n: 0 \leq k_1,\ldots,k_n < N\} \in \mathbb{R}^{N^n}$$



Denote by $U_N \subset \mathbb{R}^{N^n}$ the tropical linear prevariety of all the points being tropical zeroes of all the linearizations $f_{s_1,...,s_n}$. A **tropical Hilbert function** of f is $T_N(f) := \dim(U_N)$.

There exists the limit

$$H:=H(f):=\lim_{N\to\infty}\dim(U_N)/N^n$$

One can literally generalize the entropy H(I) to semiring ideals I.

Relation to Hilbert polynomial

The tropical prevariety U_N plays a role similar to the quotient ring $F[X_1, \ldots, X_n]/(g)$ with respect to the filtration degree N in classical algebra.

Denote by $U_N \subset \mathbb{R}^{N^n}$ the tropical linear prevariety of all the points being tropical zeroes of all the linearizations $f_{s_1,...,s_n}$. A tropical Hilbert function of f is $T_N(f) := \dim(U_N)$.

There exists the limit

$$H:=H(f):=\lim_{N\to\infty}\dim(U_N)/N^n$$

which we call the (**tropical**) **entropy** of I. Clearly, $0 \le H \le 1$. One can literally generalize the entropy H(I) to semiring ideals I.

Relation to Hilbert polynomial

The tropical prevariety U_N plays a role similar to the quotient ring $F[X_1, \ldots, X_n]/(g)$ with respect to the filtration degree N in classical algebra.

Denote by $U_N \subset \mathbb{R}^{N^n}$ the tropical linear prevariety of all the points being tropical zeroes of all the linearizations $f_{s_1,...,s_n}$. A **tropical Hilbert function** of f is $T_N(f) := \dim(U_N)$.

There exists the limit

$$H:=H(f):=\lim_{N\to\infty}\dim(U_N)/N^n$$

which we call the **(tropical) entropy** of f. Clearly, $0 \le H \le 1$.

One can literally generalize the entropy H(I) to semiring ideals I.

Relation to Hilbert polynomial

The tropical prevariety U_N plays a role similar to the quotient ring $F[X_1, ..., X_n]/(g)$ with respect to the filtration degree N in classical algebra.

Denote by $U_N \subset \mathbb{R}^{N^n}$ the tropical linear prevariety of all the points being tropical zeroes of all the linearizations $f_{s_1,...,s_n}$. A **tropical Hilbert function** of f is $T_N(f) := \dim(U_N)$.

There exists the limit

$$H:=H(f):=\lim_{N\to\infty}\dim(U_N)/N^n$$

which we call the **(tropical) entropy** of f. Clearly, $0 \le H \le 1$. One can literally generalize the entropy H(I) to semiring ideals I.

Relation to Hilbert polynomial

The tropical prevariety U_N plays a role similar to the quotient ring $F[X_1, \ldots, X_n]/(g)$ with respect to the filtration degree N in classical algebra.

Denote by $U_N \subset \mathbb{R}^{N^n}$ the tropical linear prevariety of all the points being tropical zeroes of all the linearizations $f_{s_1,...,s_n}$. A **tropical Hilbert function** of f is $T_N(f) := \dim(U_N)$.

There exists the limit

$$H:=H(f):=\lim_{N\to\infty}\dim(U_N)/N^n$$

which we call the **(tropical) entropy** of f. Clearly, $0 \le H \le 1$.

One can literally generalize the entropy H(I) to semiring ideals I.

Relation to Hilbert polynomial

The tropical prevariety U_N plays a role similar to the quotient ring $F[X_1, \ldots, X_n]/(g)$ with respect to the filtration degree N in classical algebra.

Denote by $U_N \subset \mathbb{R}^{N^n}$ the tropical linear prevariety of all the points being tropical zeroes of all the linearizations $f_{s_1,...,s_n}$. A **tropical Hilbert function** of f is $T_N(f) := \dim(U_N)$.

There exists the limit

$$H:=H(f):=\lim_{N\to\infty}\dim(U_N)/N^n$$

which we call the **(tropical) entropy** of f. Clearly, $0 \le H \le 1$.

One can literally generalize the entropy H(I) to semiring ideals I.

Relation to Hilbert polynomial

The tropical prevariety U_N plays a role similar to the quotient ring $F[X_1, \ldots, X_n]/(g)$ with respect to the filtration degree N in classical algebra.

Denote by $U_N \subset \mathbb{R}^{N^n}$ the tropical linear prevariety of all the points being tropical zeroes of all the linearizations $f_{s_1,...,s_n}$. A **tropical Hilbert function** of f is $T_N(f) := \dim(U_N)$.

There exists the limit

$$H:=H(f):=\lim_{N\to\infty}\dim(U_N)/N^n$$

which we call the (tropical) entropy of f. Clearly, $0 \le H \le 1$.

One can literally generalize the entropy H(I) to semiring ideals I.

Relation to Hilbert polynomial

The tropical prevariety U_N plays a role similar to the quotient ring $F[X_1, \ldots, X_n]/(g)$ with respect to the filtration degree N in classical algebra.

Denote by $U_N \subset \mathbb{R}^{N^n}$ the tropical linear prevariety of all the points being tropical zeroes of all the linearizations $f_{s_1,...,s_n}$. A **tropical Hilbert function** of f is $T_N(f) := \dim(U_N)$.

There exists the limit

$$H:=H(f):=\lim_{N\to\infty}\dim(U_N)/N^n$$

which we call the **(tropical) entropy** of f. Clearly, $0 \le H \le 1$.

One can literally generalize the entropy H(I) to semiring ideals I.

Relation to Hilbert polynomial

The tropical prevariety U_N plays a role similar to the quotient ring $F[X_1, \ldots, X_n]/(g)$ with respect to the filtration degree N in classical algebra.

Denote by $U_N \subset \mathbb{R}^{N^n}$ the tropical linear prevariety of all the points being tropical zeroes of all the linearizations $f_{s_1,...,s_n}$. A **tropical Hilbert function** of f is $T_N(f) := \dim(U_N)$.

There exists the limit

$$H:=H(f):=\lim_{N\to\infty}\dim(U_N)/N^n$$

which we call the (tropical) entropy of f. Clearly, $0 \le H \le 1$.

One can literally generalize the entropy H(I) to semiring ideals I.

Relation to Hilbert polynomial

The tropical prevariety U_N plays a role similar to the quotient ring $F[X_1, \ldots, X_n]/(g)$ with respect to the filtration degree N in classical algebra.

Denote by $U_N \subset \mathbb{R}^{N^n}$ the tropical linear prevariety of all the points being tropical zeroes of all the linearizations $f_{s_1,...,s_n}$. A **tropical Hilbert function** of f is $T_N(f) := \dim(U_N)$.

There exists the limit

$$H:=H(f):=\lim_{N\to\infty}\dim(U_N)/N^n$$

which we call the (tropical) entropy of f. Clearly, $0 \le H \le 1$.

One can literally generalize the entropy H(I) to semiring ideals I.

Relation to Hilbert polynomial

The tropical prevariety U_N plays a role similar to the quotient ring $F[X_1, \ldots, X_n]/(g)$ with respect to the filtration degree N in classical algebra.

Denote by $U_N \subset \mathbb{R}^{N^n}$ the tropical linear prevariety of all the points being tropical zeroes of all the linearizations $f_{s_1,...,s_n}$. A **tropical Hilbert function** of f is $T_N(f) := \dim(U_N)$.

There exists the limit

$$H:=H(f):=\lim_{N\to\infty}\dim(U_N)/N^n$$

which we call the (tropical) entropy of f. Clearly, $0 \le H \le 1$.

One can literally generalize the entropy H(I) to semiring ideals I.

Relation to Hilbert polynomial

The tropical prevariety U_N plays a role similar to the quotient ring $F[X_1, \ldots, X_n]/(g)$ with respect to the filtration degree N in classical algebra.

Example

- i) For a tropical polynomial $f = \min\{2X, 1 + X, 0\}$ its entropy H(f) = 1/4;
- ii) for a tropical polynomial $f = \min\{sX, (s-1)X, \dots, X, 0\}$ its entropy H(f) = 1 2/(s+1).

Sharp bounds on the entropy.

Theorem

- (N. Elizarov)
- i) If H(f) > 0 then $H(f) \ge 1/4$,
- ii) If f is a tropical univariate polynomial of degree s then H(f) < 1 2/(s + 1)



Example

- i) For a tropical polynomial $f = \min\{2X, 1 + X, 0\}$ its entropy H(f) = 1/4;
- ii) for a tropical polynomial $f = \min\{sX, (s-1)X, \dots, X, 0\}$ its entropy H(f) = 1 2/(s+1).

Sharp bounds on the entropy.

Theorem

- (N. Elizarov)
- i) If H(f) > 0 then $H(f) \ge 1/4$;
- ii) If f is a tropical univariate polynomial of degree s then H(f) < 1 2/(s + 1).

Example

i) For a tropical polynomial f = min{2X, 1 + X, 0} its entropy
H(f) = 1/4;
ii) for a tropical polynomial f = min{sX, (s-1)X,..., X, 0} its entropy

Sharp bounds on the entropy.

Theorem

```
(N. Elizarov)
```

- i) If H(f) > 0 then $H(f) \ge 1/4$;
- ii) If f is a tropical univariate polynomial of degree s then
- $H(f) \leq 1 2/(s+1)$.

H(f) = 1 - 2/(s+1).

Example

```
i) For a tropical polynomial f = min{2X, 1 + X, 0} its entropy H(f) = 1/4;
ii) for a tropical polynomial f = min{sX, (s-1)X,..., X, 0} its entropy
```

Sharp bounds on the entropy.

Theorem

- (N. Elizarov)
- i) If H(f) > 0 then $H(f) \ge 1/4$;
- ii) If f is a tropical univariate polynomial of degree s then
- $H(f) \leq 1 2/(s+1)$.

H(f) = 1 - 2/(s+1).

Example

i) For a tropical polynomial $f = \min\{2X, 1 + X, 0\}$ its entropy H(f) = 1/4;

ii) for a tropical polynomial $f = \min\{sX, (s-1)X, \dots, X, 0\}$ its entropy H(f) = 1 - 2/(s+1).

Sharp bounds on the entropy.

Theorem

(N. Elizarov).

i) If
$$H(f) > 0$$
 then $H(f) \ge 1/4$;

$$H(f) < 1 - 2/(s+1)$$
.

Example

- i) For a tropical polynomial $f = \min\{2X, 1 + X, 0\}$ its entropy H(f) = 1/4;
- ii) for a tropical polynomial $f = \min\{sX, (s-1)X, \ldots, X, 0\}$ its entropy H(f) = 1 - 2/(s+1).

Sharp bounds on the entropy.

Theorem

(N. Elizarov).

- i) If H(f) > 0 then $H(f) \ge 1/4$;
- ii) If f is a tropical univariate polynomial of degree s then
- H(f) < 1 2/(s+1).



For a tropical polynomial $f = \min_{0 \le k \le s} \{a_k + kX\}$ its **Newton polygon** $P(f) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is the convex hull of the vertical rays $\{(k, y) : y \ge a_k\}, 0 \le k \le s$.

Theorem

For a tropical polynomial $f = \min_{0 \le k \le s} \{a_k + kX\}$ its **Newton polygon** $P(f) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is the convex hull of the vertical rays $\{(k, y) : y \ge a_k\}, 0 \le k \le s$.

Theorem

For a tropical polynomial $f = \min_{0 \le k \le s} \{a_k + kX\}$ its **Newton polygon** $P(f) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is the convex hull of the vertical rays $\{(k, y) : y \ge a_k\}, \ 0 \le k \le s.$

Theorem

For a tropical polynomial $f = \min_{0 \le k \le s} \{a_k + kX\}$ its **Newton polygon** $P(f) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is the convex hull of the vertical rays $\{(k, y) : y \ge a_k\}, \ 0 \le k \le s.$

Theorem

For a tropical polynomial $f=\min_{0\leq k\leq s}\{a_k+kX\}$ its **Newton polygon** $P(f)\subset\mathbb{R}^2$ is the convex hull of the vertical rays $\{(k,y):y\geq a_k\},\ 0\leq k\leq s.$

Theorem

The entropy H(f) = 0 iff all the points (k, a_k) , $a_k < \infty$, $0 \le k \le s$ are the vertices of Newton polygon P(f), and the indices k such that

For a tropical polynomial $f=\min_{0\leq k\leq s}\{a_k+kX\}$ its **Newton polygon** $P(f)\subset\mathbb{R}^2$ is the convex hull of the vertical rays $\{(k,y):y\geq a_k\},\ 0\leq k\leq s.$

Theorem

For a tropical polynomial $f = \min_{0 \le k \le s} \{a_k + kX\}$ with finite coefficients $0 \le a_k \le m$, $0 \le k \le s$ consider a tropical linear prevariety $U_N(f) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ of the points (u_1, \ldots, u_N) such that for each $1 \le j \le N - s$ the minimum in

$$\min_{0\leq k\leq s}\{u_{j+k}+a_k\}$$

is attained at least twice. The tropical Hilbert function $T_N(f) = \dim(U_N(f))$.

Theorem

 $T_N(f)$ is the sum of the linear function $H(f) \cdot N$ and a periodic function with an integer period (for $N > (ms)^{O(s)}$). The period does not exceed $\exp((ms)^{O(s)})$. In addition, the entropy H(f) is a rational number.

Example

i) For a tropical polynomial $f = \min\{2X, 1 + X, 0\}$ we have $T_M(f) = |N/4|$:

For a tropical polynomial $f = \min_{0 \le k \le s} \{a_k + kX\}$ with finite coefficients

$$0 \le a_k \le m$$
, $0 \le k \le s$ consider a tropical linear prevariety $U_N(f) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ of the points (u_1, \dots, u_N) such that for each $1 \le j \le N - s$ the minimum in

$$\min_{0\leq k\leq s}\{u_{j+k}+a_k\}$$

is attained at least twice. The tropical Hilbert function $T_N(f) = \dim(U_N(f))$.

Theorem

 $T_N(f)$ is the sum of the linear function $H(f) \cdot N$ and a periodic function with an integer period (for $N > (ms)^{O(s)}$). The period does not exceed $\exp((ms)^{O(s)})$. In addition, the entropy H(f) is a rational number.

Example

- i) For a tropical polynomial $f = \min\{2X, 1 + X, 0\}$ we have $T_N(f) = |N/4|$;
- ii) For a tropical polynomial $f = \min\{2X, X, 0\}$ we have $T_N(f) = \lfloor N/3 \rfloor$.

For a tropical polynomial $f = \min_{0 \le k \le s} \{a_k + kX\}$ with finite coefficients $0 \le a_k \le m$, $0 \le k \le s$ consider a tropical linear prevariety $U_N(f) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ of the points (u_1, \dots, u_N) such that for each $1 \le j \le N - s$

$$\min_{0\leq k\leq s}\{u_{j+k}+a_k\}$$

is attained at least twice. The tropical Hilbert function $T_N(f) = \dim(U_N(f))$.

Theorem

 $T_N(f)$ is the sum of the linear function $H(f) \cdot N$ and a periodic function with an integer period (for $N > (ms)^{O(s)}$). The period does not exceed $\exp((ms)^{O(s)})$. In addition, the entropy H(f) is a rational number.

Example

- i) For a tropical polynomial $f = \min\{2X, 1 + X, 0\}$ we have $T_M(f) = \lfloor N/4 \rfloor$:
- ii) For a tropical polynomial $f = \min\{2X, X, 0\}$ we have $T_N(f) = \lfloor N/3 \rfloor$

For a tropical polynomial $f = \min_{0 \le k \le s} \{a_k + kX\}$ with finite coefficients $0 \le a_k \le m$, $0 \le k \le s$ consider a tropical linear prevariety $U_N(f) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ of the points (u_1, \ldots, u_N) such that for each $1 \le j \le N - s$ the minimum in

$$\min_{0 \le k \le s} \{u_{j+k} + a_k\}$$

is attained at least twice. The tropical Hilbert function $T_N(f) = \dim(U_N(f))$.

Theorem

 $T_N(f)$ is the sum of the linear function $H(f) \cdot N$ and a periodic function with an integer period (for $N > (ms)^{O(s)}$). The period does not exceed $\exp((ms)^{O(s)})$. In addition, the entropy H(f) is a rational number.

Example

i) For a tropical polynomial $f = \min\{2X, 1 + X, 0\}$ we have

For a tropical polynomial $f = \min_{0 \le k \le s} \{a_k + kX\}$ with finite coefficients $0 \le a_k \le m$, $0 \le k \le s$ consider a tropical linear prevariety $U_N(f) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ of the points (u_1, \ldots, u_N) such that for each $1 \le j \le N - s$ the minimum in

$$\min_{0 \le k \le s} \{u_{j+k} + a_k\}$$

is attained at least twice. The tropical Hilbert function

$$T_N(f) = \dim(U_N(f)).$$

Theorem

 $T_N(f)$ is the sum of the linear function $H(f) \cdot N$ and a periodic function with an integer period (for $N > (ms)^{O(s)}$). The period does not exceed $\exp((ms)^{O(s)})$. In addition, the entropy H(f) is a rational number.

Example

i) For a tropical polynomial $f = \min\{2X, 1 + X, 0\}$ we have

$$T_N(f) = \lfloor N/4 \rfloor;$$

For a tropical polynomial $f = \min_{0 \le k \le s} \{a_k + kX\}$ with finite coefficients $0 \le a_k \le m$, $0 \le k \le s$ consider a tropical linear prevariety $U_N(f) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ of the points (u_1, \ldots, u_N) such that for each $1 \le j \le N - s$ the minimum in

$$\min_{0\leq k\leq s}\{u_{j+k}+a_k\}$$

is attained at least twice. The tropical Hilbert function $T_N(f) = \dim(U_N(f))$.

Theorem

 $T_N(f)$ is the sum of the linear function $H(f) \cdot N$ and a periodic function with an integer period (for $N > (ms)^{O(s)}$). The period does not exceed $\exp((ms)^{O(s)})$. In addition, the entropy H(f) is a rational number.

Example

i) For a tropical polynomial $f = \min\{2X, 1 + X, 0\}$ we have

 $T_N(f) = \lfloor N/4 \rfloor;$

For a tropical polynomial $f = \min_{0 \le k \le s} \{a_k + kX\}$ with finite coefficients $0 \le a_k \le m$, $0 \le k \le s$ consider a tropical linear prevariety $U_N(f) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ of the points (u_1, \ldots, u_N) such that for each $1 \le j \le N - s$ the minimum in

$$\min_{0 \le k \le s} \{u_{j+k} + a_k\}$$

is attained at least twice. The tropical Hilbert function $T_N(f) = \dim(U_N(f))$.

Theorem

 $T_N(f)$ is the sum of the linear function $H(f) \cdot N$ and a periodic function with an integer period (for $N > (ms)^{O(s)}$). The period does not exceed

Example

i) For a tropical polynomial $f = \min\{2X, 1 + X, 0\}$ we have $T_{i,j}(f) = |N/4|$:

 $I_N(I) = \lfloor N/4 \rfloor;$

For a tropical polynomial $f = \min_{0 \le k \le s} \{a_k + kX\}$ with finite coefficients $0 \le a_k \le m$, $0 \le k \le s$ consider a tropical linear prevariety $U_N(f) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ of the points (u_1, \ldots, u_N) such that for each $1 \le j \le N - s$ the minimum in

$$\min_{0 \le k \le s} \{u_{j+k} + a_k\}$$

is attained at least twice. The tropical Hilbert function $T_N(f) = \dim(U_N(f))$.

Theorem

 $T_N(f)$ is the sum of the linear function $H(f) \cdot N$ and a periodic function with an integer period (for $N > (ms)^{O(s)}$). The period does not exceed $\exp((ms)^{O(s)})$. In addition, the entropy H(f) is a rational number.

Example

i) For a tropical polynomial $f = \min\{2X, 1 + X, 0\}$ we have $T_{M}(f) = |M/A|$.

For a tropical polynomial $f = \min_{0 \le k \le s} \{a_k + kX\}$ with finite coefficients $0 \le a_k \le m$, $0 \le k \le s$ consider a tropical linear prevariety $U_N(f) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ of the points (u_1, \ldots, u_N) such that for each $1 \le j \le N - s$ the minimum in

$$\min_{0 \le k \le s} \{u_{j+k} + a_k\}$$

is attained at least twice. The tropical Hilbert function $T_N(f) = \dim(U_N(f))$.

Theorem

 $T_N(f)$ is the sum of the linear function $H(f) \cdot N$ and a periodic function with an integer period (for $N > (ms)^{O(s)}$). The period does not exceed $\exp((ms)^{O(s)})$. In addition, the entropy H(f) is a rational number.

Example

i) For a tropical polynomial $f = \min\{2X, 1 + X, 0\}$ we have

 $T_N(f) = \lfloor N/4 \rfloor;$

For a tropical polynomial $f = \min_{0 \le k \le s} \{a_k + kX\}$ with finite coefficients $0 \le a_k \le m$, $0 \le k \le s$ consider a tropical linear prevariety $U_N(f) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ of the points (u_1, \ldots, u_N) such that for each $1 \le j \le N - s$ the minimum in

$$\min_{0 \le k \le s} \{u_{j+k} + a_k\}$$

is attained at least twice. The tropical Hilbert function $T_N(f) = \dim(U_N(f))$.

Theorem

 $T_N(f)$ is the sum of the linear function $H(f) \cdot N$ and a periodic function with an integer period (for $N > (ms)^{O(s)}$). The period does not exceed $\exp((ms)^{O(s)})$. In addition, the entropy H(f) is a rational number.

Example

i) For a tropical polynomial $f = \min\{2X, 1 + X, 0\}$ we have $T_N(f) = \lfloor N/4 \rfloor$;

For a tropical polynomial $f = \min_{0 \le k \le s} \{a_k + kX\}$ with finite coefficients $0 \le a_k \le m$, $0 \le k \le s$ consider a tropical linear prevariety $U_N(f) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ of the points (u_1, \dots, u_N) such that for each 1 < j < N - sthe minimum in

$$\min_{0 \le k \le s} \{u_{j+k} + a_k\}$$

is attained at least twice. The tropical Hilbert function $T_N(f) = \dim(U_N(f)).$

Theorem

 $T_N(f)$ is the sum of the linear function $H(f) \cdot N$ and a periodic function with an integer period (for $N > (ms)^{O(s)}$). The period does not exceed $\exp((ms)^{O(s)})$. In addition, the entropy H(f) is a rational number.

Example

i) For a tropical polynomial $f = \min\{2X, 1 + X, 0\}$ we have $T_N(f) = |N/4|;$

For a tropical polynomial $f = \min_{0 \le k \le s} \{a_k + kX\}$ with finite coefficients $0 \le a_k \le m$, $0 \le k \le s$ consider a tropical linear prevariety $U_N(f) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ of the points (u_1, \ldots, u_N) such that for each $1 \le j \le N - s$ the minimum in

$$\min_{0 \le k \le s} \{u_{j+k} + a_k\}$$

is attained at least twice. The tropical Hilbert function $T_N(f) = \dim(U_N(f))$.

Theorem

 $T_N(f)$ is the sum of the linear function $H(f) \cdot N$ and a periodic function with an integer period (for $N > (ms)^{O(s)}$). The period does not exceed $\exp((ms)^{O(s)})$. In addition, the entropy H(f) is a rational number.

Example

i) For a tropical polynomial $f = \min\{2X, 1 + X, 0\}$ we have $T_N(f) = |N/4|$;

For a tropical prevariety $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ its **radical** rad(V) is the semiring ideal of all the tropical polynomials for which points of V are tropical zeroes. For a semiring ideal I its radical is rad(V(I)).

Conjecture. For any semiring ideal *I* it holds H(rad(I)) = 0.

Strong conjecture. For a semiring ideal I of tropical polynomials in n variables its tropical Hilbert function $T_N(rad(I))$ is a polynomial of degree at most n-1 (for sufficiently large N).

Theorem

- If V consists of a finite number of points then H(rad(V)) = 0;
- the strong conjecture holds for univariate polynomials;
- if $f = \min_{1 \le j \le r} \{t_{j,1}X + t_{j,2}Y\}$ is a tropical polynomial in 2 variables then H(rad(f)) = 0.

Example

For a tropical prevariety $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ its **radical** rad(V) is the semiring ideal of all the tropical polynomials for which points of V are tropical zeroes.

For a semiring ideal I its radical is rad(V(I))

Conjecture. For any semiring ideal *I* it holds H(rad(I)) = 0.

Strong conjecture. For a semiring ideal I of tropical polynomials in n variables its tropical Hilbert function $T_N(rad(I))$ is a polynomial of degree at most n-1 (for sufficiently large N).

Theorem

- If V consists of a finite number of points then H(rad(V)) = 0;
- the strong conjecture holds for univariate polynomials;
- if $f = \min_{1 \le j \le r} \{t_{j,1}X + t_{j,2}Y\}$ is a tropical polynomial in 2 variables then H(rad(f)) = 0.

Example

For a tropical prevariety $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ its **radical** rad(V) is the semiring ideal of all the tropical polynomials for which points of V are tropical zeroes. For a semiring ideal I its radical is rad(V(I)).

Conjecture. For any semiring ideal *I* it holds H(rad(I)) = 0.

Strong conjecture. For a semiring ideal I of tropical polynomials in n variables its tropical Hilbert function $T_N(rad(I))$ is a polynomial of degree at most n-1 (for sufficiently large N).

Theorem

- If V consists of a finite number of points then H(rad(V)) = 0;
- the strong conjecture holds for univariate polynomials;
- if $f = \min_{1 \le j \le r} \{t_{j,1}X + t_{j,2}Y\}$ is a tropical polynomial in 2 variables then H(rad(f)) = 0.

Example

For a tropical prevariety $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ its **radical** rad(V) is the semiring ideal of all the tropical polynomials for which points of V are tropical zeroes. For a semiring ideal I its radical is rad(V(I)).

Conjecture. For any semiring ideal *I* it holds H(rad(I)) = 0.

Strong conjecture. For a semiring ideal I of tropical polynomials in n variables its tropical Hilbert function $T_N(rad(I))$ is a polynomial of degree at most n-1 (for sufficiently large N).

Theorem

- If V consists of a finite number of points then H(rad(V)) = 0;
- the strong conjecture holds for univariate polynomials;
- if $f = \min_{1 \le j \le r} \{t_{j,1}X + t_{j,2}Y\}$ is a tropical polynomial in 2 variables then H(rad(f)) = 0.

Example

For a tropical prevariety $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ its **radical** rad(V) is the semiring ideal of all the tropical polynomials for which points of V are tropical zeroes. For a semiring ideal I its radical is rad(V(I)).

Conjecture. For any semiring ideal *I* it holds H(rad(I)) = 0.

Strong conjecture. For a semiring ideal I of tropical polynomials in n variables its tropical Hilbert function $T_N(rad(I))$ is a polynomial of degree at most n = 1 (for sufficiently large N)

Theorem

- If V consists of a finite number of points then H(rad(V)) = 0;
- the strong conjecture holds for univariate polynomials;
- if $f = \min_{1 \le j \le r} \{t_{j,1}X + t_{j,2}Y\}$ is a tropical polynomial in 2 variables then H(rad(f)) = 0.

Example

For a tropical prevariety $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ its **radical** rad(V) is the semiring ideal of all the tropical polynomials for which points of V are tropical zeroes. For a semiring ideal I its radical is rad(V(I)).

Conjecture. For any semiring ideal *I* it holds H(rad(I)) = 0.

Strong conjecture. For a semiring ideal I of tropical polynomials in n variables its tropical Hilbert function $T_N(rad(I))$ is a polynomial of degree at most n-1 (for sufficiently large N).

Theorem

- If V consists of a finite number of points then H(rad(V)) = 0;
- the strong conjecture holds for univariate polynomials;
- if $f = \min_{1 \le j \le r} \{t_{j,1}X + t_{j,2}Y\}$ is a tropical polynomial in 2 variables then H(rad(f)) = 0.

Example

For a tropical prevariety $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ its **radical** rad(V) is the semiring ideal of all the tropical polynomials for which points of V are tropical zeroes. For a semiring ideal I its radical is rad(V(I)).

Conjecture. For any semiring ideal *I* it holds H(rad(I)) = 0.

Strong conjecture. For a semiring ideal I of tropical polynomials in n variables its tropical Hilbert function $T_N(rad(I))$ is a polynomial of degree at most n-1 (for sufficiently large N).

Theorem

- If V consists of a finite number of points then H(rad(V)) = 0;
- the strong conjecture holds for univariate polynomials;
- if $f = \min_{1 \le j \le r} \{t_{j,1}X + t_{j,2}Y\}$ is a tropical polynomial in 2 variables then H(rad(f)) = 0.

Example

For a tropical prevariety $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ its **radical** rad(V) is the semiring ideal of all the tropical polynomials for which points of V are tropical zeroes. For a semiring ideal I its radical is rad(V(I)).

Conjecture. For any semiring ideal *I* it holds H(rad(I)) = 0.

Strong conjecture. For a semiring ideal I of tropical polynomials in n variables its tropical Hilbert function $T_N(rad(I))$ is a polynomial of degree at most n-1 (for sufficiently large N).

Theorem

- If V consists of a finite number of points then H(rad(V)) = 0;
- the strong conjecture holds for univariate polynomials;
- if $f = \min_{1 \le j \le r} \{t_{j,1}X + t_{j,2}Y\}$ is a tropical polynomial in 2 variables then H(rad(f)) = 0.

Example

For a tropical prevariety $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ its **radical** rad(V) is the semiring ideal of all the tropical polynomials for which points of V are tropical zeroes. For a semiring ideal I its radical is rad(V(I)).

Conjecture. For any semiring ideal *I* it holds H(rad(I)) = 0.

Strong conjecture. For a semiring ideal I of tropical polynomials in n variables its tropical Hilbert function $T_N(rad(I))$ is a polynomial of degree at most n-1 (for sufficiently large N).

Theorem

- If V consists of a finite number of points then H(rad(V)) = 0;
- the strong conjecture holds for univariate polynomials;
- if $f = \min_{1 \le j \le r} \{t_{j,1}X + t_{j,2}Y\}$ is a tropical polynomial in 2 variables then H(rad(f)) = 0.

Example

For a tropical prevariety $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ its **radical** rad(V) is the semiring ideal of all the tropical polynomials for which points of V are tropical zeroes. For a semiring ideal I its radical is rad(V(I)).

Conjecture. For any semiring ideal *I* it holds H(rad(I)) = 0.

Strong conjecture. For a semiring ideal I of tropical polynomials in n variables its tropical Hilbert function $T_N(rad(I))$ is a polynomial of degree at most n-1 (for sufficiently large N).

Theorem

- If V consists of a finite number of points then H(rad(V)) = 0;
- the strong conjecture holds for univariate polynomials;
- if $f = \min_{1 \le j \le r} \{t_{j,1}X + t_{j,2}Y\}$ is a tropical polynomial in 2 variables then H(rad(f)) = 0.

Example

For a tropical prevariety $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ its **radical** rad(V) is the semiring ideal of all the tropical polynomials for which points of V are tropical zeroes. For a semiring ideal I its radical is rad(V(I)).

Conjecture. For any semiring ideal *I* it holds H(rad(I)) = 0.

Strong conjecture. For a semiring ideal I of tropical polynomials in n variables its tropical Hilbert function $T_N(rad(I))$ is a polynomial of degree at most n-1 (for sufficiently large N).

Theorem

- If V consists of a finite number of points then H(rad(V)) = 0;
- the strong conjecture holds for univariate polynomials;
- if $f = \min_{1 \le j \le r} \{t_{j,1}X + t_{j,2}Y\}$ is a tropical polynomial in 2 variables then H(rad(f)) = 0.

Example